

Washington, Saturday, March 12, 1960

Contents

Agricultural Marketing Ser PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Milk in certain marketing areas: Central Illinois North central Ohio and north- eastern Ohio RULES AND REGULATIONS: Fruit grown in California and Arizona, handling limitations:	2106 2106		2112	Roberts, Cecil W., et al	2116 2117 2110
Lemons		Federal Aviation Agency		Federal Housing Administra	ition
Navel oranges Grapefruit grown in Arizona, Imperial Valley, Calif., and that part of Riverside County, Calif., situated south and east of White Water, Calif.; shipments limitation		Federal airways; modifications (3 documents) 2107, 2 Federal airway and reporting	2110 2108 2108	Rules and Regulations: Mutual and servicemen's mort- gage insurance; miscellaneous amendments	
_		Federal airway, control areas and		Federal Maritime Board Notices:	
Agriculture Department See Agricultural Marketing Service. Atomic Energy Commission	•	Federal airway segment, control areas and reporting points, rev- ocation; and control area ex-	2109 2108	States Marine Lines and Hohen- berg Brothers; violation of Shipping Act; investigation, hearing, and conference	2118
Notices: Pacific Gas and Electric Co.; hearing on application for construction permit	•		2109	Federal Power Commission Notices: Hearings, etc.: Peoples Gulf Coast Natural Gas	
Commerce Department		Rules and Regulations:		Pipeline Co	2117
See also Federal Maritime Board; Maritime Administration; Na-		Restricted areas/military climb	2098	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line	2117
tional Shipping Authority.		corridors; designations (3 documents)	2099	Federal Reserve System	
Lewis, Richmond; changes in fi- nancial interests	2119	Federal Communications Co		Rules and Regulations: Loans by banks to "carry" registered stocks; miscellaneous pro-	
Customs Bureau		mission		visions	2098
Notices: Acoustical tile from Canada; rea son to believe or suspect purchase price is less or likely to be less than foreign marke value	- o t	Hirschberg, Sanford L., et al 2 Pine Tree Telecasting Corp.	2115 2115 2116	Federal Trade Commission Rules and Regulations: Prohibited trade practices: Aye Dee Wholesale Fur Co. et al. Bayuk Cigars, Inc	2101
Enforcement of customs and navi-		Radio and television network		Laurie Records, Inc., et al	2101
gation laws	_ 2103	broadcasting; study 2	2116	(Continued on next page) 2095	

CONTENTS

Housing and Home Finance Agency See Federal Housing Administration.	Rules and Regulations: Public land orders: California 2105 Idaho (2 documents) 2104, 2105	Reclamation Bureau Notices: Yuma Project, Arizona-California Valley Division: Availability of water for Indian
Interior Department	Maritime Administration	lands 2114 Procedures for processing wa-
See also Land Management Bureau; Reclamation Bureau.	Notices: Trade Route No. 10; adoption of conclusions and determinations	ter-right applications and certain other contracts 2114
NOTICES: Board of Contract Appeals; au-	regarding essentiality and U.S. flag passenger service require-	Small Business Administration
thority delegation2115 PROPOSED RULE MAKING: Board of Contract Appeals; mem-	ments 2118	Notices: Chief, Investment Division, Minneapolis Regional Office; au-
bership, decisions2106	National Aeronautics and Space Administration	thority redelegation relating to functions 2120
Interstate Commerce Commission	Rules and Regulations:	Oregon; disaster area 2120
NOTICES: Union Pacific Railroad Co.; peti-	Source selection boards 2100	Treasury Department See also Customs Bureau.
tion for declaratory order 2118	National Shipping Authority	Notices:
Labor Department See Wage and Hour Division.	Rules and Regulations: Radar observer certificates, ships' safety and use of radar 2104	Fiscal Assistant Secretary; authority delegation to approve bonds of indemnity and surety bonds in certain cases 2112
Land Management Bureau	Post Office Department	Wage and Hour Division
Notices: Colorado; small tract classification, amendment2112	Rules and Regulations: International mail directory; correction 2104	Notices: Learner employment certificates; issuance to various industries 2119
		•

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

7 CFR	24 CFR	
914	221	Announcement
PROPOSED RULES: 9752106 9952106	232 2102 235 2103 241 2103	CFR SUPPLEMENTS
1028 2106	32A CFR NSA (CH. XVIII):	(As of Januáry 1, 1960)
12 CFR 221 2098	OPR-7 2104	The following Supplement is now available:
14 CFR	39 CFR 168 2104	Title 49, Parts 1–70 \$1.75
601 2098 608 (3 documents) 2099 1209 2100 PROPOSED RULES: 600 (7 documents) 2107-2109 601 (5 documents) 2108-2110 608 2109	43 CFR PROPOSED RULES: 2106 4	Previously announced: Title 3 (\$0.60); Titles 4—5 (\$1.00); Title 7, Parts 1—50 (\$0.45); Parts 51—52 (\$0.45); Parts 53—209 (\$0.40); Title 8 (\$0.40); Title 26, Parts 170—221 (\$2.25); Title 32, Parts 700—799 (\$1.00); Title 36, Revised (\$3.00); Title 46, Parts 146—149, Revised (\$6.00)
16 CFR 13 (3 documents) 2100, 2101 19 CFR 23 2103	20662105 20672105 47 CFR PROPOSED RULES: 172110	Order from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Navel Orange Reg. 188]

PART 914-NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DES-IGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 914.488 Navel Orange Regulation 188.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 14, as amended (7 CFR Part 914), regulating the handling of navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such navel oranges as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice. engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof, Such committee meeting was held on March 10, 1960.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., March 13, 1960, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., March 20, 1960, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: 475,000 cartons;
- (ii) District 2: 600,000 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement; (iv) District 4: Unlimited movement.
- (2) All navel oranges handled during the period specified in this section are subject also to all applicable size restrictions which are in effect pursuant to this part during such period.
- (3) As used in this section, "handled,"
 "District 1," "District 2," "District 3,"
 "District 4," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: March 11, 1960.

S. R. SMITH, Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2369; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 11:14 a.m.]

[Lemon Reg. 837]

PART 953-LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

§ 953.944 Lemon Regulation 837.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953; 23 F.R. 9053), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047). and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the

public interest to give preliminary notice. engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based become available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on March 9, 1960.

- (b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., March 13, 1960, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., March 20, 1960, are hereby fixed as follows:
 - (i) District 1: 7.440 cartons:
 - (ii) District 2: 195,300 cartons;
 - (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.
- (2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: March 10, 1960.

S. R. SMITH. Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2350; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 9:24 a.m. l

[Grapefruit Reg. 130]

PART 955—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN ARIZONA; IN IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIF., AND IN THAT PART OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIF., SITUATED SOUTH AND EAST OF WHITE WATER, CALIF.

Limitation of Shipments

§ 955.391 Grapefruit Regulation 130.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 55, as amended (7 CFR Part 955), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the State of Arizona; in Imperial County, California; and in that part of Riverside County, California, situated south and east of White Water, California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Administrative Committee (established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order), and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective date. The Administrative Committee held an open meeting on March 3, 1960, to consider recommendations for a regulation, after giving due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; information regarding the provisions of the regulation recommended by the committee has been disseminated to shippers of grapefruit, grown as aforesaid, and this section, including the effective time thereof, is identical with the recommendation of the committee; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective on the date hereinafter set forth so as to provide for the continued regulation of the handling of grapefruit; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject thereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof.

(b) Order. (1) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., March 13, 1960, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., April 24, 1960, no handler shall handle:

(i) Any grapefruit of any variety grown in the State of Arizona; in Imperial County, California; or in that part

of Riverside County, California, situated south and east of White Water, California, unless such grapefruit grade at least U.S. No. 2: or

(ii) From the State of California or the State of Arizona to any point outside thereof in the United States, any grapefruit, grown as aforesaid, which measure less than 3\%6 inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 5 percent, by count, of grapefruit smaller than the foregoing minimum size shall be permitted which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances, specified in the revised United States Standards for Grapefruit (California and Arizona), 7 CFR 51.925-51.955: Provided, That, in determining the percentage of grapefruit in any lot which are smaller than 3%6 inches in diameter, such percentage shall be based only on the grapefruit in such lot which are of a size 313/16 inches in diameter and smaller.

(2) As used herein, "handler," "variety," "grapefruit," and "handle" shall have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order; the term "U.S. No. 2" shall have the same meaning as when used in the aforesaid revised United States Standards for Grapefruit; and "diameter" shall mean the greatest dimension measured at right angles to a straight line from the stem to blossom end of the fruit.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: March 8, 1960.

S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing
Service.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2298; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 12—BANKS AND BANKING

Chapter II—Federal Reserve System

SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. U]

PART 221—LOANS BY BANKS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PURCHASING OR CARRYING REGISTERED STOCKS

Loans to "Carry" Registered Stocks

1. Effective March 8, 1960, paragraph (b) (1) of § 221.3 is amended to read as follows:

§ 221.3 Miscellaneous provisions.

(b) (1) No loan, however it may be secured, need be treated as a loan for the purpose of "carrying" a stock registered on a national securities exchange unless the loan is as described in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph or the purpose of the loan is to enable the borrower to reduce or retire indebtedness which was originally incurred to purchase such a stock, or, if he be a broker

or a dealer, to carry such stocks for customers.

2a. The purpose of this amendment is to restore the language of paragraph (b) (1) of § 221.3 as it stood before June 15, 1959, in order to avoid administrative problems arising under the provision that has been in effect since that date. However, the Board is concerned with evasive extensions of bank credit for the purpose of carrying registered stocks and expects banks to be alert in detecting and preventing attempts to circumvent the basic purposes of this part.

2b. The notice, public participation, and deferred effective date procedures described in section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act are not followed in connection with this amendment for the reasons and good cause found as stated in paragraph (e) of § 262.2 of the Board's rules of procedure (Part 262 of this chapter), and specifically because in connection with this amendment such procedures are unnecessary as they would not aid the persons affected and would serve no other useful purpose.

(Sec. 23, 48 Stat. 901; 15 U.S.C. 78w. Interprets or applies secs. 2, 3, 7, 17, 48 Stat. 881, 882, 886, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 78b, 78c, 78g, 78w)

BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, [SEAL] MERRITT SHERMAN,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2282; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E-AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-243; Amdt. 261]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL A R E A S , CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

Designation of Control Zone and Control Area Extension

On November 20, 1959, a Notice of Proposed Rule-Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9372) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a control zone and control area extension at Vandenberg AFB, Calif.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), Part 601 §§ 601.1466 and 601.2058 (24 F.R. 10530) are hereby amended and set forth below:

§ 601.1466 Control area extension (Lompoc, Calif.).

That airspace within a 35-mile radius of the geographical center of Vandenberg AFB (latitude 34°43'45" N., longitude 120°34'15" W.), excluding that portion overlying Restricted Areas (R-531) and (R/W 532) and that portion outside the United States.

§ 601.2058 Lompoc, Calif., control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of Vandenberg AFB (latitude 34°43'45" N., longitude 120°34' W.), and within 2 miles southwest of, and 3 miles northeast of the Vandenberg TVOR 316° T radial from the 5-mile radius zone to the TVOR excluding that portion overlying the Navy missile fa-cility, Pt. Arguello, Calif., Restricted Areas (R-531) and (R/W 532).

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

> D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2270; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-4; Amdt. 79]

PART 608-RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor

On November 11, 1959, a Notice of Proposed Rule-Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9208) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a Restricted Area/ Military Climb Corridor at Castle AFB, Merced, Calif.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

For the reasons set forth in the Notice, the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change, and set forth below:

In § 608.14 California (23 F.R. 8576) add the following:

Merced, Calif. (Castle AFB), Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor (R-586) (San

Francisco Chart):

Description. That area centered on the Description. That area centered on the NW course of the Castle AFB ILS localizer, beginning 5 statute miles NW of the airbase extending to 32 statute miles NW of the airbase with a width of 2 statute miles at the beginning and 4.6 statute miles at the outer extremity.

Designated altitudes.

2,200 feet MSL to 15,200 feet MSL from 5 statute miles NW of the airbase to 6 statute miles NW of the airbase.

2,200 feet MSL to 24,200 feet MSL from 6 to 7 statute miles NW of the airbase. 2,200 feet MSL from 7

to 10 statute miles NW of the airbase.

6,200 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from 10 to 15 statute miles NW of the airbase.

10,200 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from 15 to 20 statute miles NW of the airbase.

15,200 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from 20 to 25 statute miles NW of the airbase. 19,200 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from

25 to 32 statute miles NW of the airbase. Time of designation. Continuous.

Controlling agency. Castle AFB approach

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

> JAMES T. PYLE, Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2271; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-6; Amdt. 74]

PART 608—RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor

On October 15, 1959, a Notice of Proposed Rule-Making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 8382) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was proposing to designate a Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor at England Air Force Base, Alexandria, La., to provide protection for high-speed air defense aircraft and other users of the airspace during the climb phase of the air defense aircraft mission.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

For the reasons stated in the Notice. the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change, and set forth below:

In § 608.26 Louisiana (23 F.R. 8581) add the following:

Alexandria (England AFB), La., Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor (Beaumont Chart):

Description. That area centered on the True radial of the England AFB, La., TACAN, with a width of 2 statute miles beginning at a point 5 statute miles north of the airbase and extending to a width of 4.6 statute miles at a point 32 statute miles north of the airbase

Designated altitudes.

2,100 feet MSL to 15,000 feet MSL from a point 5 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 6 statute miles north of the airbase.

2,100 feet MSL to 24,000 feet MSL from a point 6 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 7 statute miles north of the airbase

2,100 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from a point 7 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 10 statute miles north of the airbase.

6,100 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from a point 10 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 15 statute miles north of the airbase. 10,100 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from a

point 15 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 20 statute miles north of the airbase.

15,100 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from a point 20 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 25 statute miles north of the airbase.

19,100 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL from a point 25 statute miles north of the airbase to a point 32 statute miles north of the airbase.

Time of designation. Continuous. Controlling agency. England AFB Approach Control.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

> JAMES T. PYLE, Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2272; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-13; Amdt. 82]

PART 608—RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor

On November 11, 1959, a Notice of Proposed Rule-Making was published in the Federal Register (24 F.R. 9208) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a Restricted Area/ Military Climb Corridor at Hamilton AFB, San Rafael, Calif.

No comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

For the reasons stated in the Notice, the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change, and set forth below:

In § 608.14 California (23 F.R. 8576) add the following:

San Rafael (Hamilton AFB), Calif., Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor (R-590) (Sacramento Chart):

Description. That area centered on the 325° True radial of the Hamilton TVOR extending from 7 statute miles NW of the airbase to 34 statute miles NW of the airbase having a width of 2 statute miles at the beginning and a width of 4.6 statute miles at the outer extremity.

Designated altitudes.

2,000' MSL to 15,000' MSL from 7 statute miles NW of the airbase to 8 statute miles. NW of the airbase.

2.000' MSL to 24.000' MSL from 8 to 9 statute miles NW of the airbase.

2,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 9 to 12 statute miles NW of the airbase.

6,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 12 to 17 statute miles NW of the airbase.

10,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 17 to 22 statute miles NW of the airbase.

15,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 22 to 27 statute miles NW of the airbase.

19,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 27 to 34 statute miles NW of the airbase.

Time of designation. Continuous. Controlling agency. Hamilton AFB Ap-

proach Control.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

JAMES T. PYLE. Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2273; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Chapter V—National Aeronautics and Space Administration

PART 1209—BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

Subpart 2—Source Selection Boards

Subpart 2 of Part 1209, Chapter V of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations is revised to read as follows:

1209.200 Scope of subpart. 1209.201 Policy. Establishment of Boards. 1209.202 1209.203 Procurement Advisory Committees. 1209.204 Evaluation of proposals. 1209.205 Selection of source. 1209.206 Disclosure of information. 1209.207 Disclosure of private interests of board members. 1209.208 Debriefing of unsuccessful com-

AUTHORITY: §§ 1209.200 to 1209.208 issued under 42 U.S.C. 2473(b) (1).

§ 1209.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart establishes procedures for the appointment and utilization of Source Selection Boards in connection with major procurements of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). It also defines NASA policy on debriefing unsuccessful bidders.

§ 1209.201 Policy.

It is the policy of NASA to utilize Source Selection Boards in evaluating proposals and advising the Administrator on the selection of procurement sources for all competitive negotiated procurements for research and development where it is estimated that the cost of the contract will exceed \$1,000,000. Source Selection Boards will also be utilized for procurements in a lesser amount for feasibility studies or preliminary work where it is likely that the source selected will receive other contracts for later phases of the same project which together would total more than \$1,000,000. In other appropriate cases, a NASA contracting officer may request the appointing authority, as designated in § 1209.202, to apply Source Selection Board procedures to a particular procurement. Any project requiring a Source Selection Board will be submitted by the NASA installation concerned to the Associate Administrator, NASA, for approval before any procurement action is taken.

§ 1209.202 Establishment of Boards.

Source Selection Boards established pursuant to this subpart shall be composed chiefly of technical staff members who are familiar with the technical details of the procurement. Each board shall also include one or more representatives of the business management staff. Board members shall be appointed as follows:

(a) NASA Headquarters: The Director of Business Administration (hereinafter referred to as the "appointing authority") shall appoint members of Source Selection Boards for contracts placed by Headquarters, and shall designate one member of each board as Chairman. A member of the Headquarters business management staff will be designated.

nated as a permanent member to represent the Office of Business Administration on each board. Technical members shall be appointed on the recommendation of the Director of the appropriate technical program office.

(b) Field Installations: The Director. or other head, of a NASA field installation (hereinafter referred to as the "appointing authority") shall appoint members of Source Selection Boards for contracts placed by such installation, and shall designate one member of each board as Chairman. Field boards shall include at least one representative each from the Headquarters technical staff and business management staff, such representatives to be selected by the appropriate Headquarters office. A member of the field installation business management staff will be designated as a permanent member on each board.

(c) Except in unusual circumstances, boards and committees established pursuant to this subpart shall be appointed prior to the final date for submission of proposals.

§ 1209.203 Procurement Advisory Committees.

In the case of more complicated procurements, the appointing authority may, in his discretion, establish Procurement Advisory Committees to assist the Source Selection Boards in evaluating different phases of the proposals. In such cases, at least a Technical Committee and a Business Management Committee shall be formed. Members of such committees may be selected from other Government agencies and from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, where appropriate. The appointing authority shall designate a Chairman for each committee.

§ 1209.204 Evaluation of proposals.

Source Selection Boards shall establish a rating system for evaluating proposals prior to the opening of proposals. In establishing a rating system, the technical quality of the proposals and the technical abilities of a potential contractor shall normally be given greater weight than the business management factors.

§ 1209.205 Selection of source.

The Administrator, after receiving the advice of the Source Selection Board, shall determine the selection of source or direct such other action as he deems appropriate. The permanent member of the Board shall be responsible for preparing, for the Administrator's approval, a full statement of the reasons for selection of source. The permanent member of the Board shall also be responsible for preparing a detailed description of the procedures followed prior to selection. Such statements shall become a permanent part of the contracting officer's file.

§ 1209.206 Disclosure of information.

Except as directed by the Administrator, participants in proceedings of Source Selection Boards and Procurement Advisory Committees shall not disclose any information in connection with the selection proceedings to any person

not involved in the selection process, either before or after completion.

§ 1209.207 Disclosure of private interests of board members.

Any member of a Source Selection Board or Procurement Advisory Committee who, at any time following his appointment, finds that he has an interest in or connection with a company submitting a proposal for evaluation by the board or committee on which he serves shall promptly report the fact of his interest or connection, and the nature of it, to the appointing authority. A reportable interest or connection shall include the following: (a) Ownership of a company's securities by a member or his wife; (b) a close family relationship to an official of a company; (c) any other interest in or connection with a company which might tend to subject NASA to criticism on the basis that such interest or connection would impair the objectivity of a member's participation on a board or committee. The appointing authority shall determine in each case whether the board or committee member making such a report will be excused from serving on the board or committee. or take other appropriate action.

§ 1209.208 Debriefing of unsuccessful companies.

Debriefing, as used herein, means providing proposing companies with the details of NASA's evaluation of the proposals submitted and a discussion of their relative merits. It is NASA policy that companies submitting proposals will not be debriefed.

T. KEITH GLENNAN, Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2300; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 7553 c.o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Ave Dee Wholesale Fur Co. et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.155 Prices: 13.155-80 Retail as cost, etc., or discounted. Subpart—Neglecting unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 Composition; 13.1845-30 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1865 Manufacture or preparation: 13.1865-40 Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1900 Source or origin: 13.1900-40 Fur Products Labeling Act; 13.1900 Source or origin: 13.1900-40 (b) Place; § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: 13.1852-35 Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Using Misleading Name: Vendor: § 13.2460 Retailer as wholesaler, jobber, or distributor.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply Sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; Sec. 8, 65 Stat. 719; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Aye Dee Wholesale Fur Co., Philadelphia, Pa., Docket 7553, February 12, 1960]

In the Matter of Abe Dworkin, Adolph Dworkin, and Leon Dworkin, Individually and as Copartners Trading as Aye Dee Wholesale Fur Co.

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging Philadelphia furriers with violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by advertising which failed to disclose the names of animals producing certain furs, the country of origin of imported furs or the fact that some fur products contained artificially colored fur, and which represented prices of fur products falsely as "wholesale"; by representing falsely through use of their trade name that they were wholesalers; and by failing to keep adequate records as a basis for pricing claims.

After acceptance of an agreement for a consent order the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became, on February 12, the Decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Abe Dworkin, Adolph Dworkin and Leon Dworkin, individually and as copartners trading as Aye Dee Wholesale Fur Co., or under any other name, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction, or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution, in commerce. of fur products, or in connection with the sale, manufacture for sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution of fur products which have been made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce as "commerce", "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Falsely or deceptively advertising fur products through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement, or notice which is intended to aid, promote or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale, or offering for sale of fur products, and which:

A. Fails to disclose:

- (1) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product, as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide, and as prescribed under the rules and regulation:
- (2) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact:
- (3) The name of the country of origin of any imported furs contained in a fur product.
- B. Represents directly or by implication that the prices of fur products are "wholesale prices" when such is not the fact.
- C. Misrepresents in any manner the savings available to purchasers of respondents' fur products.

D. Represents through the use of the trade name Aye Dee Wholesale Fur Co., or in any other manner, that respondents are wholesalers of fur products, when such is not the fact.

2. Making claims and representations respecting prices and values of fur products unless respondents maintain full and adequate records disclosing the facts upon which such claims and representations are based.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: February 12, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH, Secretary.

F.R. Doc. 60-2283; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Docket 7395 c.o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Bayuk Cigars, Inc.

Subpart — Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act: Payment for services or facilities for processing or sale under 2(d): § 13.824 Advertising expenses; § 13.825 Allowances for services or facilities.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies Sec. 2, 49 Stat. 1527; 15 U.S.C. 13) [Cease and desist order, Bayuk Cigars, Inc., Docket 7395, February 12, 1960]

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a cigar manufacturer with main office in Philadelphia, Pa., and branch offices in several statesa substantial factor in the cigar industry with net sales in 1957 exceeding \$37,000,-000-to cease violating section 2(d) of the Clayton Act by such practices as paying to certain favored retail customers. including Walgreen Company of Chicago, United Cigar Whelan Stores Corp. of New York City, Sun Ray Drug of Philadelphia, and Rexal Drug Co. of New York City their full advertising costs in promoting its cigars; making special display promotional payments to certain customers, including United Cigar Whelan Stores Corp., New York City, The Union News Company, New. York City, Peoples Drug Stores, Inc., Washington, D.C., Fred Harvey, Chicago, and Barkalow Bros. Company, Omaha, Nebr.; and paying the Union News Co. of New York City approximately \$700 per month as consideration for advertising its cigars on book matches distributed to Union's retail outlets-all without offering proportional payments or allowances to competitors of the favored customers.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Bayuk Cigars Incorporated, a corporation, its officers, employees, agents and representatives, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the distribution, sale or offering for sale of cigars in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Paying, or contracting to pay or allow, anything of value to, or for the benefit of, a customer as compensation or in consideration for any services or facilities furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, processing, sale, or offering for sale of any products manufactured, sold, or offered for sale by respondent, unless such payment or consideration is affirmatively offered or otherwise made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing in fact in the distribution of such products.

(2) Paying or contracting to pay to any customer, directly or indirectly through a subsidiary or otherwise, anything of value for advertising on book matches, unless such payment or consideration, or something in lieu thereof, is affirmatively offered or otherwise made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing in fact in the distribution of respondent's products.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows.

It is further ordered, That the respondent, Bayuk Cigars Incorporated, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order to cease and desist contained in the aforesaid initial decision.

Issued: February 12, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2284; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Docket 7695 c.o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Laurie Records, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Bribing Customers' Employees: § 13.315 Employees of private concerns.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Laurie Records, Inc., et al., New York City, Docket 7695, February 9, 1960]

In the Matter of Laurie Records, Inc., a Corporation; Abel Productions, Inc., a Corporation; and Allan Sussel, Gene Schwartz, Eliot Greenberg, and Robert Schwartz, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporations

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the

Commission charging New York City manufacturers of phonograph records which they sold to independent distributors for resale to retail outlets and juke box operators, with giving concealed "payola"—payment of money or other valuable consideration—to disk jockeys to increase sales of their records by "exposure"—broadcasting day after day and several times daily—on radio and television programs.

After acceptance of an agreement containing a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on February 9, 1960, the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered. That respondents Laurie Records, Inc., a corporation, and Abel Productions, Inc., a corporation, and their officers, and Allan Sussel, Gene Schwartz, Eliot Greenberg, and Robert Schwartz, individually and as officers of said corporations, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with phonograph records which have been distributed in commerce, or which are used by radio or television stations in broadcasting programs in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act. do forthwith cease and desist from:

- 1. Giving or offering to give, without requiring public disclosure, any sum of money, or other material consideration, to any person, directly or indirectly, to induce that person to select, or participate in the selection of, and broadcasting of, any such records in which respondents, or any of them, have a financial interest of any nature;
- 2. Giving or offering to give, without requiring public disclosure, any sum of money, or other material consideration, to any person, directly or indirectly, as an inducement to influence any employee of a radio or television broadcasting station, or any other person, in any manner, to select, or participate in the selection of, and the broadcasting of, any such records in which respondents, or any of them, have a financial interest of any nature.

There shall be "public disclosure" within the meaning of this order by any employee of a radio or television broadcasting station, or any other person, who selects or participates in the selection and broadcasting of a record, when he shall disclose, or cause to have disclosed, to the listening public at the time the record is played, that his selection and broadcasting of such record are in consideration for compensation of some nature, directly or indirectly, received by him or his employer.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Laurie Records, Inc. and Abel Productions, Inc., corporations, and Allan Sussel, Gene Schwartz, Eliot Greenberg, and Robert Schwartz, individually and as officers of said corporations, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: February 9, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2285; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

Chapter II—Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Home Finance Agency

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

The following miscellaneous amendments have been made to this chapter:

SUBCHAPTER C-MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSUR-ANCE AND SERVICEMEN'S MORTGAGE IN-SURANCE

PART 221 — MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIRE-MENTS OF MORTGAGE COVERING ONE- TO FOUR-FAMILY DWELL-INGS

In § 221.16 paragraph (c) is amended by adding a new subparagraph (4) as follows:

§ 221.16 Mortgage provisions.

(c) * * *

(4) Provide for amortization payments to begin on the first day of a month not later than two months after the date the loan is closed.

PART 222—MUTUAL MORTGAGE IN-SURANCE; RIGHTS AND OBLIGA-TIONS OF MORTGAGEE UNDER THE INSURANCE CONTRACT

In § 222.10 paragraph (b)(3) is amended to read as follows:

§ 222.10 Contract of insurance termination.

(b) * * *

(3) The Commissioner shall notify the mortgagee that the contract of insurance has been terminated and the effective termination date. The termination date shall be the last day of the month in which:

(i) the mortgagee notifies the Commissioner that it has acquired the mortgaged property but does not intend to convey title to the Commissioner;

(ii) the mortgage was prepaid; or

(iii) a voluntary termination request is received by the Commissioner.

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply sec. 203, 52 Stat. 10, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1709)

PART 226—SERVICEMEN'S MORT-GAGE INSURANCE; RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF THE MORT-GAGEE UNDER THE INSURANCE CONTRACT

In § 226.3 paragraph (c) is revoked as follows:

§ 226.3 Annual mortgage insurance premiums and charges.

(c) [Revoked].

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interprets or applies sec. 222, 68 Stat. 603; 12 U.S.C. 1715m)

SUBCHAPTER D-MULTIFAMILY AND GROUP HOUSING INSURANCE

PART 232—MULTIFAMILY HOUSING INSURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIRE-MENTS OF MORTGAGE COVERING MULTIFAMILY HOUSING

- 1. In Part 232 the pertinent section heading in the table of contents is amended to read as follows:
- 232.3 Mortgage provisions.
- 2. Section 232.3 is amended to read as follows:

§ 232.3 Mortgage provisions.

- (a) Mortgage form. The mortgage shall be:
- (1) Executed on a form approved by the Commissioner for use in the jurisdiction in which the property covered by the mortgage is situated, which form shall not be changed without the prior written approval of the Commissioner.
- (2) Executed by a mortgagor with the qualifications as provided in this part.
- (3) A first lien on property that conforms with the property standards prescribed by the Commissioner.
- (b) Disbursement of the mortgage. The mortgage shall be obligated, as a part of the mortgage transaction, to disburse the principal amount of the mortgage, to, or for the account of the mortgagor or to his creditors for his account and with his consent.
- 3. Section 232.4 is amended by adding new paragraphs (d) and (e) as follows:

§ 232.4 Maximum mortgage amounts.

- (d) Adjusted mortgage amount—rehabilitation projects. A mortgage having a principal amount computed in compliance with the applicable provisions of this section, and which involves a project to be repaired or rehabilitated, shall be subject to the following additional limitations:
- (1) Property held in fee. If the mortgagor is the fee simple owner of the project, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed 100 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of the cost of the proposed repairs or rehabilitation; or
- (2) Property subject to existing mortgage. If the mortgagor owns the project subject to an outstanding indebtedness, which is to be refinanced with part of the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed: (i) The Commissioner's estimate of the cost of

the repair or rehabilitation; plus (ii) such portion of the outstanding indebtedness as does not exceed 90 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of the fair market value of such land and improvements prior to the repair or rehabilitation: or

- (3) Property to be acquired. If the project is to be acquired by the mortgagor and the purchase price is to be financed with a part of the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed 90 percent of: (i) The Commissioner's estimate of the cost of the repair or rehabilitation and (ii) the actual purchase price of the land and improvements, but not in excess of the Commissioner's estimate of the fair market value of such land and improvements prior to the repair or rehabilitation.
- (e) Reduced mortgage amount_ leaseholds. The maximum mortgage amount based upon the limitations of this section is subject to reduction by an amount equal to the capitalized value of the ground rent in the event the mortgage is on a leasehold estate rather than on a fee simple holding.
- 4. In § 232.6 paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 232.6 Payment requirements.

- (a) Method of payment. The mortgage shall provide for monthly payments on the first day of each month on account of interest and principal and shall provide for payments in accordance with an amortization plan as agreed upon by the mortgagor, the mortgagee and the Commissioner.
- 5. In § 232.31a paragraph (i) is amended to read as follows:
- § 232.31a Eligibility of mortgages on trailer courts or parks for trailer coach mobile dwellings.
- (i) The references in §§ 232.24 to 232.28 to "statutory limitations" and "statutory percentage" shall mean the ratio of loan-to-value limitation set forth in paragraph (b) (3) of this section. (Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply sec. 207, 52 Stat. 16, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1713)

PART 235-MULTIFAMILY HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY; ELIGIBILITY RE-QUIREMENTS OF MORTGAGE

- 1. Section 235.3 is amended by adding a new paragraph (d) as follows:
- § 235.3 Maximum mortgage amounts new construction. .
- (d) Reduced mortgage amountleaseholds. The maximum mortgage amount based upon the limitations of this section is subject to reduction by an amount equal to the capitalized value of the ground rent in the event the mortgage is on a leasehold estate rather than on a fee simple holding.
- 2. Section 235.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 235.4 Maximum mortgage amountsrehabilitation projects.

(a) Principal obligation of mortgage, In the case of properties other than new construction, the principal obligation of the mortgage shall not exceed:

(1) Public Mortgagor and Private Mortgagor-Nonprofit. For a Public Mortgagor and a Private Mortgagor-Nonprofit, the Commissioner's estimate of the value of the project after the completion of the rehabilitation:

(2) Private Mortgagor-Profit. For a Private Mortgagor-Profit, 90 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of the value of the project after the completion of the rehabilitation.

(b) Adjusted mortgage amount—rehabilitation projects. A mortgage having a principal amount computed in compliance with the applicable provisions of this section, and which involves a project to be repaired or rehabilitated, shall be subject to the following additional limitations:

(1) Property held in fee. If the mortgagor is the fee simple owner of the project. the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed 100 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of the cost of the proposed repairs or rehabilitation; or

(2) Property subject to existing mortgage. If the mortgagor owns the project subject to an outstanding indebtedness, which is to be refinanced with part of the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed: (i) The Commissioner's estimate of the cost of the repair or rehabilitation; plus (ii) such portion of the outstanding indebtedness as does not exceed 90 percent of the Commissioner's estimate of the fair market value of such land and improvements prior to the repair or rehabilitation; or

(3) Property to be acquired. If the project is to be acquired by the mortgagor and the purchase price is to be financed with a part of the insured mortgage, the maximum mortgage amount shall not exceed 90 percent of: (i) The Commissioner's estimate of the cost of the repair or rehabilitation and (ii) the actual purchase price of the land and improvements, but not in excess of the Commissioner's estimate of the fair market value of such land and improvements prior to the repair or rehabilitation.

(c) Reduced mortgage amount-leaseholds. The maximum mortgage amount based upon the limitations of this section is subject to reduction by an amount equal to the capitalized value of the ground rent in the event the mortgage is on a leasehold estate rather than on a fee simple holding.

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply sec. 231, 72 Stat. 665; 12 U.S.C. 1715v)

PART 241—COOPERATIVE HOUSING INSURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIRE-MENTS FOR PROJECT MORTGAGE

1. In Part 241 the pertinent section heading in the Table of Contents is amended to read as follows:

241.6 Mortgage provisions.

2. In § 241.3 paragraph (e) is amended to read as follows:

§ 241.3 Fees required by Commissioner.

- (e) Inspection fee. The commitment may provide for the payment of an inspection fee in the amount not to exceed \$5.00 per thousand dollars of the commitment.
- 3. Section 241.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 241.6 Mortgage provisions.

- (a) Mortgage form. The mortgage shall be:
- (1) Executed on a form approved by the Commissioner for use in the jurisdiction in which the property covered by the mortgage is situated, which form shall not be changed without the prior written approval of the Commissioner.
- (2) Executed by a mortgagor with the qualifications as provided in this part.
- (3) A first lein on property that conforms with the property standards prescribed by the Commissioner.
- (b) Disbursement of the mortgage. The mortgagee shall be obligated, as a part of the mortgage transaction, to disburse the principal amount of the mortgage, to, or for the account of the mortgagor or to his creditors for his account and with his consent.
- (e) Management of property. mortgage shall provide that the mortgagor will not arrange for management of the property except in a manner and under an agreement approved by the mortgagee and the Commissioner in writing.
- 4. In § 241.9 paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 241.9 Payment requirements.

(a) Method of payment. The mortgage shall provide for monthly payments on the first day of each month on account of interest and principal and shall provide for payments in accordance with an amortization plan as agreed upon by the mortgagor, the mortgagee and the Commissioner.

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; 12 U.S.C. 1715b. Interpret or apply sec. 213, 64 Stat. 54, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1715e)

Issued at Washington, D.C., March 8, 1960

> JULIAN H. ZIMMERMAN, Federal Housing Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2306; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury

[T.D. 55067]

PART 23—ENFORCEMENT OF CUS-TOMS AND NAVIGATION LAWS

Licenses To Import Merchandise in Vessels of Less Than 30 Net Tons

It is deemed advisable to delegate authority to collectors of customs to issue licenses to import merchandise in ves-

No. 50-2

.

sels of less than 30 net tons in accordance with section 6, Anti-Smuggling Act of August 5, 1935, for a period not to exceed 12 months. At present, such licenses may only be issued for a period not to exceed 6 months.

Therefore, § 23.2(c) of the Customs Regulations is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) If the collector finds that the applicant is a reputable person and that the revenue would not be jeopardized by the issuance of a license, he may issue the license for a period not to exceed 12 months, incorporating therein any special conditions he believes to be necessary or desirable, and deliver it to the licensee.

The citation of authority for § 23.2 is amended to read:

(Sec. 6, 49 Stat. 519; 19 U.S.C. 1706)

(R.S. 161, as amended, 251; 5 U.S.C. 22, 19 U.S.C. 66)

[SEAL] D. B. STRUBINGER, Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: March 7, 1960.

A. GILMORE FLUES, Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2321; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

Title 32A—NATIONAL DEFENSE, APPENDIX

Chapter XVIII—National Shipping Authority, Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce

[NSA Order 68 (OPR-7)]

OPR-7-RADAR OBSERVER CERTIFI-CATES, SHIPS' SAFETY AND USE OF RADAR

OPR-7 is hereby added to this chapter.

Sec.

- 1 Purpose.
- 2 Radar observer competency.
- 3 Safety of navigation.
- 4 Use of radar.

AUTHORITY: Sections 1 to 4 issued under 49 Stat. 1987, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 1114.

Section 1. Purpose.

The purpose of this regulation is to state the policy of the National Shipping Authority of the Maritime Administration regarding the competency of deck officers, safe operating practices, and the proper and effective use of radar on any Maritime Administration vessel operating for its account under a general agency agreement.

Sec. 2. Radar observer competency.

(a) Effective as of this date each master and deck officer employed on board GAA vessels equipped with marine radar shall be in possession of a certificate indicating satisfactory completion of a Radar Observer Course approved and recognized by the United States

Coast Guard; or possess a master's or deck officer's license which has been issued as an original license or a raise in grade subsequent to January 1, 1959, in conformance with CGFR58-18, 23 F.R. 3447-10.05-46.

(b) Compliance with this regulation may be waived by the Maritime Administration Coast Director having jurisdiction over the vessel upon evidence by the general agent that such qualified personnel are not available for the dispatch of the vessel and the sailing would be prevented or delayed; or for other reasons, by the Director, National Shipping Authority of the Maritime Administration.

Sec. 3. Safety of navigation.

Radar does not relieve the master of a vessel of the obligation to comply fully with the Rules of the Road and all practices of good seamanship. Safety of the vessel is paramount to schedules which are considered only as desirable objectives. Radar is an aid to safe navigation, and is only of value when properly and effectively used. Proper use includes careful plotting of ships, to predict or control the distance between two vessels at closest point of approach. Timely plotting is essential. Luminous plotting devices are authorized equipment on all radar-equipped GAA vessels and shall be utilized to the fullest extent possible.

Sec. 4. Use and maintenance of radar.

(a) To be of value and dependable, the radar must be used and maintained properly. Manufacturer's recommendations for operation and maintenance of the set should be complied with at all times. The radar set shall be energized in sufficient time to ensure its proper functioning.

(b) It is good practice to operate the radar set from sunset to sunrise when under way, and plotting should be practiced at every opportunity; thus, proficiency can be maintained. The master shall be responsible for the training of all watch officers, making certain that they practice their observations, computations and plotting during times of clear weather, that they check themselves by visual observations, thereby ensuring their proficiency while operating under conditions of reduced visibility.

Approved: March 3, 1960.

WALTER C. FORD, Deputy Maritime Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2305; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 39—POSTAL SERVICE

Chapter I-Post Office Department

PART 168—DIRECTORY OF INTERNATIONAL MAIL

Correction

Federal Register Document 60-2068, appearing in the issue of Saturday.

March 5, 1960, at pages 1948–1949, is corrected by striking out "In the same section the following amendments also are made:" in the amendatory language to the amendment numbered "III", and inserting in lieu thereof "IV. In § 168.5 Individual country regulations, make the following changes:".

[SEAL] HERBERT B. WARBURTON, General Counsel.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2293; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX-PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2065]

[80081]

IDAHO

Order Opening Public Lands

1. Public Land Order No. 1826 of April 2, 1959, opened the following-described lands only to application by the State of Idaho under subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851-852), and under the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, pursuant to determination of the Federal Power Commission in DA-514-Idaho issued August 5, 1958, and Power Site Cancellation No. 129 of September 18, 1958 (23 F.R. 7437). The State has not acted to exercise its preference:

BOISE MERIDIAN

T. 2 N., R. 43 E.

Sec. 17, E½NW¼NW¼, E½W½NW¼ NW¼, and SE¼NW¼.

The areas described contain 70 acres. 2. The land is grazing land, lying along the north rim of Snake River Canyon, about five miles northwest of Swan Valley, Idaho.

3. This order shall not become effective to change the status of the lands described in paragraph 1 hereof until 10:00 a.m. on April 13, 1960. At that time they shall become subject to application, petition, location, and selection under the applicable public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise. Idaho.

ROGER ERNST,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

March 8, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2286; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2066] [Idaho 07470]

IDAHO

Withdrawing Lands for Stock Driveway Purposes

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 10 of the act of December 29, 1916 (39 Stat. 865; 43 U.S.C. 300), as amended, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands in Idaho are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, except the mining and mineral leasing laws and disposals of materials under the act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604), as amended, and reserved under jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management for use of the general public for stock driveway purposes, and as an addition to the area reserved by Public Land Order No. 2022 of November 24, 1959:

BOISE MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 22 E., Sec. 14, E½ NE¼.

The area described contains 80 acres. Any mineral deposits in the land excepting minerals to which the mineralleasing laws apply, shall be subject to location and entry only in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior in accordance with the provisions of the act of January 29, 1929 (45 Stat. 1144; 43 U.S.C. 300), and existing regulations in 43 CFR 185.35, 185.36.

ROGER ERNST,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

March 8, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2287; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2067] [79237]

CALIFORNIA

Amending Public Land Order No. 2032 of December 16, 1959

Public Land Order No. 2032 of December 16, 1959 (24 F.R. 10445), is hereby amended to the extent necessary to restore the following-described lands to disposition under the public land laws, without reference to the provisions of section 24, of the Federal Power Act or rights of the licensee for Project No. 803, as described in paragraph 1 of the order:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 24 N., R. 4 E., Sec. 34, W½SE¼.

Containing 80 acres.

ROGER ERNST,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

March 8, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2288; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service [7 CFR Parts 975, 995]

[Docket Nos. AO-179-A19 and AO-197-A6]

MILK IN NORTH CENTRAL OHIO AND NORTHEASTERN OHIO MARKET-ING AREAS

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and Orders

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a joint public hearing to be held at the Mansfield-Leland Hotel, Lake Shore Room, Mansfield, Ohio, beginning at 10:00 a.m., on March 29, 1960, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreements and the orders regulating the handling of milk in the North Central Ohio (Order No. 95) and Northeastern Ohio (Order No. 75) marketing areas and immediately following thereafter a public hearing on other proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to Order No. 95 regulating the handling of milk in the North Central Ohio marketing area.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders.

The proposal relative to the redefinition of the North Central Ohio marketing area raises the issue whether the provisions of the present order would tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act, if they are applied to the marketing area as proposed to be redefined and, if not, what modifications of the provisions of the order would be appropriate.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposals to be considered at the joint hearing to amend Order No. 75 and Order No. 95:

Proposed by Northwestern Cooperative Sales Association and North Central Ohio Cooperatives Dairy Sales Associa-

Proposal No. 1. Amend § 995.50 by adding a new paragraph (c) as follows:

(c) Add or subtract a supply-demand adjustment computed by averaging the adjustment for such month under Order No. 74 § 51(a)(3) (Columbus, Ohio, marketing area) and Order No. 71 § 51(a)

(Dayton-Springfield, Ohio, marketing area).

Proposal No. 2. Amend § 995.50 to include the gross Class I sales and producer supplies at pool plants under Order No. 95 (North Central Ohio marketing area) in an appropriate supplydemand factor, either in conjunction with the sales and supplies under Order No. 75 (Northeastern Ohio marketing area) or as a separate factor.

Proposed by the Milk Producers Federation of Cleveland, Stark County Milk Producers Association and The Northwestern Cooperative Sales Association:

Proposal No. 3. In § 975.51(a) provide that the computation of the supplydemand factor be made by including the quantity of producers supplies and gross Class I sales at pool plants required under Order No. 75 (Northeastern Ohio) and Order No. 95 (North Central Ohio).

Proposed by the Borden Company:

Proposal No. 4. Consider a separate supply demand factor for North Central Ohio order and proper alignment of the Class I location differentials.

Proposals to be considered at the hearing to amend Order No. 95:

Proposed by Northwestern Cooperative Sales Association and North Central Ohio Cooperative Dairy Sales Association:

Proposal No. 5. Amend § 995.51 to provide that for each month the Class II price shall be the same as the Class III price determined pursuant to Order No. 75 (Part 975 of this chapter) regulating the handling of milk in the Northeastern Ohio marketing area.

Proposal No. 6. Review the provisions and applications of § 995.80 "Plants subject to other Federal orders".

Proposed by The Borden Company:

Proposal No. 7. Change the base setting period of October through December to read August through November.

Proposed by Isaly Dairy Company

Proposal No. 8. Amend § 995.5 to make provisions that the North Central Ohio marketing area should include the Marion Correctional Institution located in Marion County, Ohio.

Proposal No. 9. Increase the shrinkage allowance to 3 percent for those plants having 100 percent bulk tank truck receiving operations.

Proposal No. 10. Amend § 995.64 by substitution of the words "September through November" in place of "October through December".

Proposed by the Dairy Division, Agricultural Market Service:

Proposal No. 11. Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order may be procured from the Market Administrator, 204 Dominion Building, Lima, Ohio, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., or may be there inspected.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 9th day of March 1960.

> ROY W. LENNARTSON, Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2310; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 1028]

[Docket No. AO-314]

MILK IN CENTRAL ILLINOIS MARKETING AREA

Notice of Extension of Time for Filing Exceptions to the Recommended **Decision to Proposed Marketing** Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions to the recommended decision with respect to the proposed marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Central Illinois marketing area, which was issued February 17, 1960 (25 F.R. 1448), is hereby extended to March 25, 1960.

Dated: March 9, 1960, Washington, D.C.

ROY W. LENNARTSON, Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2309; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary [43 CFR Part 4]

BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS

Membership; Decisions

Basis and purpose. Notice is hereby given that it is proposed to amend §§ 4.2 and 4.14 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below. The purpose of these amendments is to permit, with the consent of the appellant, the disposition of appeals by one member of the Board of Contract Appeals and to enable the Chairman to divide the Board into panels of two members.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rule-making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the amendments to the Chairman, Board of Contract Appeals, Department of the In-Washington 25, D.C., within thirty days of the date of publication of the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1. Section 4.2 is amended to add a new paragraph, designated (b). As so amended § 4.2 reads as follows:

§ 4.2 Membership.

(a) The Board of Contract Appeals consists of three members, one of whom is the Assistant Solicitor, Claims and Contract Appeals, who serves as Chairman. There are alternate members designated who may serve in lieu of regular members. All members and alternates are named by the Secretary of the Interior. No member of the Board may consider an appeal if he shall have taken part, or have any interest, directly or indirectly, in the letting or administration of the contract in dispute.

(b) The Chairman of the Board may, in his discretion, designate himself or any other member of the Board to decide any appeal provided that the parties have consented in writing to the disposition of the appeal in this manner. The Chairman of the Board may also direct that any appeal may be decided by a panel of any two members of the Board but if they are unable to agree upon a decision, the case will be decided by the full Board. When an appeal is decided by all three members of the Board, the concurrence of two members shall be sufficient for a decision.

2. Section 4.14 is amended by deletion of the first sentence. As so amended § 4.14 reads as follows:

§ 4.14 Decisions.

A copy of the decision shall be furnished to both parties and shall be available for public inspection.

(R.S. 161, 5 U.S.C. 22)

ELMER F. BENNETT. Acting Secretary of the Interior. MARCH 7, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2291; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.1

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

Bureau of Air Traffic Management

[14 CFR Part 600]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-NY-59]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 600.6140 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

VOR Federal airway No. 140 presently extends, in part, from Baltimore, Md., to Coyle, N.J., via New Castle, Del., and Woodstown, N.J. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration modification of this segment of Victor 140 by

realigning it from the Baltimore VOR via a VOR to be installed approximately October 1, 1960, near Millville, N.J., at latitude 39°32'17" N., longitude 74°58' W., to the Coyle VOR. This modification would provide an additional route which would facilitate management of the heavy volume of air traffic operating between the Washington, D.C./ Baltimore and the New York City terminal areas. It would also provide a direct airway between Baltimore and Coyle, thereby reducing the flying time between these points. The control areas associated with Victor 140 are so designated that they would automatically conform to the modified airway. Accordingly, no amendment relating to such control areas would be necessary.

If this action is taken, the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 140 between Baltimore, Md., and Coyle, N.J., would be redesignated via Millville, N.J.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they, may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 8, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS, Director; Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2274; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.1

[14 CFR Part 600]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-FW-8]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS

Modification

to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24

F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 600.6074 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

VOR Federal airway No. 74 presently extends in part from Little Rock Ark., to Pine Bluff, Ark., via the intersection of the Little Rock VOR 141° and the Pine Bluff VOR 007° True radials. The Federal Aviation Agency is considering realigning this segment of Victor 74 from the Little Rock VOR direct to the Pine Bluff VOR. The Pine Bluff, Ark., Restricted Area (R-135) was revoked February 11, 1960. This permits designating Victor 74 direct between Little Rock and Pine Bluff which would reduce the distance on Victor 74 between these points and would provide better navigational guidance on this segment. The control areas associated with Victor 74 are so designated that they would automatically conform to the modified airway. Accordingly, no amendment relating to such areas is necessary.

If this action is taken, the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 74 under consideration would be redesignated from the Little Rock, Ark., VOR direct to the Pine Bluff, Ark., VOR.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

> D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

Pursuant to the authority delegated [F.R. Doc. 60-2275; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 600]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-LA-10]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS

Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 600.6099 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

VOR Federal airway No. 99 extends in part from the Newport, Oreg., VOR to the Newberg, Oreg., VOR via the intersection of the Newport VOR 023° and the Newberg VOR 251° True radials. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration realignment of this segment of Victor 99, direct between Newport and Newberg. This would reduce the present route mileage by approximately 7 miles and provide better navigational guidance on this segment of the airway. The control areas associated with Victor 99 are so designated that they would automatically conform to the realigned airway. Accordingly, no action relating to such control areas would be necessary.

If this action is taken, VOR Federal airway No. 99 would be redesignated direct between Newport, Oreg., and Newberg, Oreg.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, P.O. Box 90007, Airport Station, Los Angeles 45, Calif. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Trafic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2276; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Parts 600, 601]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-20]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROL AREAS AND REPORTING POINTS

Revocation of Segment of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Designated Reporting Points; , Modification of Control Area Extension

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to §§ 600.106, 601.106, 601.4106 and 601.1067 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

Amber Federal airway No. 6 presently extends, in part, from Nashville, Tenn., to Cincinnati, Ohio. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration revocation of this segment of Amber 6. A Federal Aviation Agency IFR peakday airway traffic survey for the period July 1, 1958 through June 30, 1959 shows less than ten aircraft movements for the segment of Amber 6 between Nashville and Cincinnati. On the basis of this survey, it appears that the retention of this airway segment and its associated control areas is unjustified as an assignment of airspace and that the rovocation thereof would be in the public interest. In addition, it is proposed to revoke the Bowling Green, Ky., radio range station and the Lexington, Ky., non-directional radio beacon as designated reporting points. Concurrently, the Federal Aviation Agency is considering modification of the Lexington, Ky., control area extension which is presently described with reference to Amber 6. It is proposed to redescribe the Lexington control area extension by substituting VOR Federal airway No. 4 south alternate for Amber 6.

If these actions are taken, the segment of the Amber Federal airway No. 6 and its associated control areas from Nashville, Tenn., to Cincinnati, Ohio, and the Bowling Green, Ky., radio range station and the Lexington, Ky., non-directional radio beacon designated reporting points would be revoked. The Lexington, Ky., control area extension would be redesignated to include the airspace within a 40-mile radius of the Lexington VOR extending clockwise from VOR Federal airway No. 4, east of Lexington to VOR Federal airway No. 4 east alternate, west of Lexington; and within a 25-mile radius of the Lexington VOR extending clockwise from VOR Federal airway No. 4 east alternate, west of Lexington to VOR Federal airway No. 4, east of Lexington.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

D. D. Thomas, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2279; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Parts 600, 601]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-31]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS AND REPORTING POINTS

Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to §§ 600.6006 and 601.7001 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

VOR Federal airway No. 6 extends, in part, from the Oakland, Calif., VOR direct to the Sacramento, Calif., VOR. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration realignment of this airway segment to the southeast via the Oakland VOR 039° and the Sacramento VOR 212° True radials.

Realignment of this segment of Victor 6 would permit simultaneous use of the same altitudes on the airway and in the Travis Air Force Base holding patterns, which is not possible at the present time. It would be impractical

to relocate the holding patterns due to high terrain and traffic patterns of adjacent major air terminals. The control areas associated with Victor 6 are so designated that they would automatically conform to the modified airway. Accordingly, no action relating to such control areas would be necessary. The south alternate to Victor 6 between Oakland and Sacramento would remain unchanged.

The Bay Point, Calif., Domestic VOR reporting point is presently designated as the intersection of the Oakland, Calif., VOR direct radial to the Sacramento, Calif., VOR. Concurrently with the realignment of Victor 6, it would be necessary to redesignate this reporting point as the intersection of the Oakland VOR 039° and the Modesto VOR 292° True radials.

If these actions are taken, VOR Federal airway No. 6 between the Oakland, Calif., VOR and the Sacramento, Calif., VOR would be realigned via the intersection of the Oakland VOR 039° and the Sacramento VOR 212° True radials. The Bay Point, Calif., Domestic VOR reporting point would be redesignated as the intersection of the Oakland VOR 039° and the Modesto, Calif., VOR 292° True radials.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief. Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, P.O. Box 90007, Airport Station, Los Angeles 45, Calif. All com-munications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is con-templated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2280; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Parts 600, 601]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-21]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROL AREAS AND REPORTING POINTS

Revocation

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Parts 600 and 601 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

Red Federal airway No. 18 presently extends from Greenfield, Ind., intersection (intersection of the east course of the Indianapolis, Ind., radio range and the northwest course of the Cincinnati, Ohio, radio range) to the Springfield, Va., radio beacon. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration revocation of Red 18. A Federal Aviation Agency IFR peak day airway traffic survey for each half of calendar year 1959 showed a maximum of eleven aircraft movements on any segment of Red 18 between Greenfield intersection and Springfield. On the basis of this survey, it appears that the retention of this airway and its associated control areas is unjustified as an assignment of airspace and the revocation thereof would be in the public interest.

If this action is taken, Red Federal airway No. 18, its associated control areas and reporting points would be revoked.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief. Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief. or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2281; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Parts 600, 601, 608]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-NY-22]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROL AREAS AND RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor, Modification of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to §§ 600.219, 601.219 and 608.54 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal by the Department of the Air Force to designate a Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor at Langley AFB, Hampton, Va. The Military Climb Corridor, designated as a Restricted Area would be used by the high-speed, high rate-of-climb Century series air defense aircraft while departing from the airbase on active air defense missions. The Restricted Area would provide protection for high speed air defense aircraft and other users of the airspace during the climb phase of the air defense aircraft mission. The proposed Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor would extend along the Langley AFB TVOR 011° True radial from 5 statute miles north to 32 statute miles north of the airbase, having a width at the beginning of 1 statute mile to the west and 2 statute miles to the east of the 011° True radial. The western boundary would expand uniformly to a width of 2.3 statute miles west of the 011° True radial at the outer extremity. The eastern boundary would decrease from a width of 2 statute miles at the beginning to a width of 1.5 statute miles at the 10 statute mile point then expand to a width of 2.3 statute miles at the outer extremity. The lower altitude limits in graduated steps would extend from 2,000 feet MSL to 19,000 feet MSL. The upper altitude limits would extend from 15,000 feet MSL to 27,000 feet MSL. Time of use would be continuous. The controlling agency would be the Langley AFB, Approach Control. The controlling agency would authorize aircraft to operate within the Climb Corridor when not in use by active air defense aircraft.

Concurrently with the proposed designation of the Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor at Langley AFB, the Federal Aviation Agency is considering modification of the segment of Red Federal airway No. 19 between the Tappahannock, Va., radio range and the Norfolk, Va., radio range by redesignat-

ing it from Tappahannock via the southeast course of the Tappahannock radio range to the intersection of the southwest course of the Chincoteaque, Va. (Navy) radio range where it would terminate. This realignment of Red 19 would permit the movement of low frequency equipped aircraft underneath the northern portion of the proposed Climb Corridor and would allow optimum use of flight altitudes on the proposed segment for air traffic management.

If these actions are taken, the Hampton Roads, Va. (Langley AFB) Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor (R-592) (Norfolk Chart) would be designated as follows:

Description. That area based on the 011° True radial of the Langley AFB TVOR, beginning 5 statute miles north of the airbase and extending 32 statute miles north of the airbase having a width at the point of beginning of 1 statute mile to the west and 2 statute miles to the east of the 011° True radial. The western boundary would expand uniformly to a width of 2.3 statute miles west of the 011° True radial at the outer extremity. The eastern boundary would decrease to a width of 1.5 statute miles 10 statute miles from the point of beginning of the Climb Corridor, then expand to a width of 2.3 statute miles east of the 011° True radial at the outer extremity. Designated altitudes.

2,000' MSL to 15,000' MSL from 5 statute miles north of the airbase to 6 statute miles north of the airbase.

2,000' MSL to 24,000' MSL from 6 to 7 statute miles north of the airbase.

2,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 7 to 10 statute miles north of the airbase.

6,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 10 to 15 statute miles north of the airbase.

10,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 15 to 20 statute miles north of the airbase.

15,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 20 to 25 statute miles north of the airbase.

19,000' MSL to 27,000' MSL from 25 to 32 statute miles north of the airbase.

Time of use. Continuous.

Controlling agency. Langley AFB, Va., Approach Control.

The segment of Red Federal airway No. 19 from Tappahannock, Va., to Norfolk, Va. and its associated control areas would be redesignated from Tappahannock, Va., via the southeast course of the Tappahannock radio range to its intersection with the southwest course of the Chincoteague, Va. (Navy) radio range.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for considera-The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 8, 1960.

> D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2278; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 601]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-31

CONTROL ZONES

Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 601.2206 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration modification of the New York, N.Y., control zone. the New York, N.Y., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of La Guardia Field extending 5 miles either side of the northeast course of the La Guardia Field radio range to the Port Chester fan marker. A portion of this control zone overlaps the White Plains, N.Y., control zone. A review of the published instrument approach procedures to La Guardia Airport indicates that the presently designated northeast extension to the New York control zone exceeds the control zone requirements necessary to provide protection for aircraft conducting La Guardia Field radio range, ILS, and the New Rochelle, N.Y., radio beacon instrument approaches. Therefore, it is proposed to reduce the size of the New York control zone northeast extension by redesignating it to include only the airspace within 2 miles either side of the northeast course of the La Guardia radio range from the radio range to the New Rochelle radio beacon. This modification would also eliminate the overlap of the New York control zone with the White Plains, N.Y., control zone.

If this action is taken, the New York, N.Y., control zone would be designated within a 5-mile radius of La Guardia Field (latitude 40°46′29′′ N., longitude 73°52′20′′ W.) and within 2 miles either side of the La Guardia Field radio range northeast course extending from the 5mile radius zone to the New Rochelle. N.Y., radio beacon.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749. 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 7, 1960.

> D. D. THOMAS, Director, Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2277; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 17]

[Docket No. 13384]

CONSTRUCTION, MARKING AND LIGHTING OF ANTENNA STRUC-**TURES**

Order Extending Time for Filing Comments

- 1. The Commission has before it for consideration a request of the Federal Aviation Agency to extend the time for comments in the above-entitled proceeding to June 7, 1960.
- 2. The Federal Aviation Agency requests this extension so that it may discuss the proposed amendments with the various segments of the aviation community, both outside and within the Government.
- 3. Inasmuch as the Federal Aviation Agency requested the Commission to

amend Part 17 so that in essence the Federal Aviation Agency is substituted for the Airspace Subcommittee of the Air Coordinating Committee and will, if present rulemaking is adopted, be the Agency that conducts the study of whether a proposed tower is a hazard to air navigation, the Commission is of the view that an extension of time for the filing of comments in the above-entitled proceeding would serve the pub-

amend Part 17 so that in essence the lic interest, convenience and necessity Federal Aviation Agency is substituted and is warranted.

- 4. The Commission is also in receipt of a Petition by Storer Broadcasting Company for a 30-day extension of time, in which to file comments. This request is rendered moot by present Commission action.
- 5. In view of the foregoing: It is ordered, That the date for filing comments in the above-entitled matter is extended to June 7, 1960, and the date

for filing replies to such comments is extended to June 17, 1960.

Adopted: March 7, 1960. Released: March 9, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2318; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[643.3]

ACOUSTICAL TILE FROM CANADA

Notice That There Is Reason To Believe or Suspect Purchase Price Is Less or Likely To Be Less Than Foreign Market Value

March 9, 1960.

Pursuant to section 201(b) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(b)), notice is hereby given that there is reason to believe or suspect, from information presented to me, that the purchase price of acoustical tile imported from Canada is less or likely to be less than the foreign market value, as defined by sections 203 and 205, respectively, of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 162 and 164).

Customs officers are being authorized to withhold appraisement of entries of acoustical tile from Canada pursuant to section 14.9 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 14.9).

[SEAL] D. B. STRUBINGER,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2301; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

[Treasury Department Order 177-18]

FISCAL ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Delegation of Authority To Approve Bonds of Indemnity and Surety Bonds in Certain Cases

There is delegated to the Fiscal Assistant Secretary the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to approve bonds of indemnity and surety bonds required by statute or regulation of the Treasury Department in connection with transactions involving United States securities or securities for which the Treasury acts as transfer agent, and to require and approve bonds of indemnity and surety bonds in connection with transactions involving those securities when such bonds of indemnity and surety bonds may be required, without specific statutory authority, by exercise of the rights reserved in the general regulations of the Department.

The Fiscal Assistant Secretary is further authorized to delegate the performance of any of these functions to subordinates in the Fiscal Service.

All previous delegations of authority to approve such bonds of indemnity and surety bonds are rescinded.

Dated: March 7, 1960.

[SEAL] JULIAN B. BAIRD,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2302; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

The Secretary of Defense approved the following on March 3, 1960:

PRIVATE HOUSING FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL AND ESSENTIAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS

I. General. Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Defense by section 202(f) of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, and section 5 of Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1953, the authority conferred on the Secretary of Defense by section 704, Public Law 372, 86th Congress (73 Stat. 654, 683) (hereinafter called the Act) is hereby redelegated to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Properties and Installations) with authority to redelegate, except for the functions specifically delegated to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Properties and Installations) under Section II, paragraphs 1, 2, and 3. below.

II. Delegations of authority. A. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Properties and Installations) is delegated the

authority to:

1. Review recommendations made by the Secretaries of the military departments as to the number, type, and location of housing units needed, and, after consultation with the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), approve the number of family housing units to be developed in the interest of national defense under the Act near military installations in the United States for military personnel and essential civilian personnel.

2. Approve the making of guaranties of the Armed Services Housing Mortgage Insurance Fund from loss, as author-

ized by the Act.

3. Issue instructions for the guidance of the military departments in taking action necessary to the development of family housing under the Act.

4. Perform such functions under the Act as are not otherwise specifically delegated to the Secretaries of the military departments.

B. The Secretary of each military department, or his designee, is hereby delegated the authority to:

1. Consider the feasibility of proposals to contruct housing units under the provisions of the Act to meet the needs of military and essential civilian personnel in the vicinity of military installations.

2. In instances where it is considered feasible and in the interest of national defense to provide reasonably adequate housing at reasonable rents under the Act, submit recommendations as to number, type, and location of such housing units, together with appropriate supporting information, to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Properties and

Installations) for approval of specific projects.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Administrative Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2206; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Classification No. 30]

[B-26294]

COLORADO

Small Tract Classification; Amendment

1. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Colorado State Supervisor, Bureau of Land Management, effective February 19, 1958 (23 F.R. 1098), it is ordered as follows:

2. Effective immediately, Paragraphs 3 through 10 of Federal Register Document 58-2785, appearing in the Issue of April 16, 1958, at pages 2458-2460, are hereby revoked as to the following lots, and Paragraphs 3 through 9 of this order

are substituted therefor.

These lots were classified for disposition under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609, 43 U.S.C., sec. 682a) by Small Tract Classification Order No. 30, appearing as Federal Register Document 58–2056 in the issue for March 20, 1958, at page 1859. This amendatory order is for the purpose of opening these lots to the filing of small tract applications.

UTE MERIDIAN, COLORADO

T. 1 S., R. 1 W.,

Sec. 33, lots, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, and 50. Containing 88.75 acres subdivided into 27 small tracts. None of these lots are covered by applications from persons entitled to preference under 43 CFR 257.5.

These lots are now subject to application under the Small Tract Act. Applications must be filed in the manner described in Paragraph 8 of this order.

3. These lots are about 2 miles south of Grand Junction, Colorado, along or near an unimproved road, known locally as the Jacob's Ladder Road. The Gunnison River is about one mile east of the tracts and the Redlands area of Grand Junction is about one mile north of the tracts. The Town of Grand Junction has an estimated population of 20,000.

Average annual precipitation is less than 10 inches. The elevation is approximately 4900 feet, or about 300 feet higher than Grand Junction.

Some of the lots have scattered, scrubby pinon and juniper trees; others have only small desert shrubs. To the north there is a panoramic view of the Book Cliffs and Grand Valley and to the east a good view of Grand Mesa. Each of the lots is considered to have a desira-

ble building site, although varying amounts of excavation and levelling may be necessary to develop individual lots. Sandstone rock underlies the entire area at shallow depth.

Rights of way to all tracts have been reserved but some road building may be necessary. Development of culinary water may be difficult and expensive. Water hauling services probably are available from Grand Junction. Electric power is at the north boundary of the tracts and probably can be extended to all the tracts. Other utilities and services, such as stores, schools, churches, and recreational facilities are available in Grand Junction two miles away. The tracts are suitable for year-round residential use.

4. The individual lots are square or rectangular in shape and contain from 2.5 to 5 acres. An unofficial plat showing the general location of each lot within the Small Tract Area may be obtained by writing to the Land Office Manager, Bureau of Land Management. 371 New Custom House, P.O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado. This plat shows the location of rights of way that have been reserved. The fair market value of the tracts is shown below. Rental for the three-year lease period ranges from \$45 to \$97.50. The tracts will be subject to all rights of way of record and rights of way for roads and public utilities under 43 CFR 257.17(b) will be reserved as described below.

UTE MERIDIAN, COLORADO

Legal description by lot No.	Acres	Location and width of rights-of-way	Advance rental for 3-year lease period	Purchase price
T. 1 S., R. 1 W., Sec. 33, lot—	5. 0 2. 5 2. 5 3. 75 5. 0	25' along N., W., and S. sides. 25' along E. and W. sides. 25' along W. side. 25' along E. and S. sides. 25' along N. and W. sides.	75. 00 75. 00 71. 25	\$55 50 50 47: 50
18	3. 75 3. 75 2. 5 2. 5	25' along N. side. 25' along N. and W. sides. 25' along W. and S. sides. 25' along E., W., and S. sides. 25' along E., and S. sides.	45. 00 60. 00 60. 00 75. 00 90. 00	30 40 40 50 60
28	5. 0 5. 0 2. 5 2. 5 3. 75	25' along N. and E. sides	90. 00 52. 50 60. 00	65 60 35 40 50
35	2. 5 2. 5 2. 5 2. 5 2. 5	25' along S. side	75. 00 52. 50 75. 00 75. 00	50 50 35 50 50
41	2. 5 2. 5 2. 5 2. 5	25' along N. and W. sides. 25' along N. side. 25' along N. side. 26' along E., W., and S. sides. 25' along E. and S. sides. 25' along S. side.	75. 00 60. 00 67. 50 75. 00	45 50 40 45 50
50	5.0	25' along N. side		50

 $^{{\}bf 1}$ These lots are shown on supplemental plat accepted Dec. 18, 1958.

In addition, lots 7, 15, 16, 18, 21, 23, 28, 29, 33, 41, 46, and 50 shall be subject to a right of way 100 feet in width on either side of the center line of the existing road known locally as the "Jacob's Ladder Road," as shown on the unofficial plat described above. This right of way is reserved for road and public utilities under title 43 CFR 257.17(b).

All minerals will be reserved by the United States.

5. Leases will be issued for a term of three years and will contain an option to purchase in accordance with 43 CFR 257.13. Lessees who comply with the general terms and conditions of their leases will be permitted to purchase their tracts at the prices listed above providing that during the period of their leases they either (a) construct the improvements specified in Paragraph 7 or (b) file a copy of an agreement in accordance with 43 CFR 257.13(d). Leases will be renewable at the discretion of the Bureau of Land Management and the renewal lease will be subject to such terms and conditions as are deemed necessary in the light of the circumstances and the regulations existing at the time of renewal. However, a lease will not be renewable unless failure to

construct the required improvements is justified under the circumstances and nonrenewal would work an extreme hardship on the lessee.

6. Persons who have previously acquired a tract under the Small Tract Act are not qualified to secure a tract at the drawing unless they can make a showing satisfactory to the Bureau of Land Management that the acquisition of another tract is warranted in the circumstances.

7. The improvements referred to in paragraph 5 must conform with health, sanitation, and construction requirements of local ordnances and must, in addition, meet the following standards:

a. Buildings on these lots shall be constructed of new and substantial materials, set on adequate foundations of cement, rocks, cinder blocks or similar material. Chimneys must be of cement, stone, brick masonry, or of an approved metal type, and shall be lined with fire resistant brick or tile except where approved metal types are used.

b. No shacks of temporary and unsightly nature will be allowed. The use of tar or composition papers for general exterior purposes will not be permitted. Trailers or other portable types of houses will not be considered as being

part or all of the development requirements. Trailers may be used for temporary housing during the construction of permanent improvements. However, they will not be considered as part of the improvements required under the lease.

- c. Only one resident building will be permitted per lot. Buildings other than the residence shall be kept to a minimum.
- d. All residential buildings shall have not less than 600 square feet of floor space.
- e. Buildings shall be set back a minimum of 15 feet from property lines and a minimum of 30 feet from exterior boundaries of areas reserved for rights of way under 43 CFR 257.17(b).
- f. The tract must have adequate toilet facilities to conform with state and local laws. Outdoor toilet facilities, if utilized, must be located a minimum distance of 30 feet from the dwelling and 50 feet from lot lines. The toilet must be fully enclosed, of substantial construction, and contain a pit and cover for the seats. The depth of the pit shall not be less than five feet below the ground level.

g. The lessee will be required to keep his lot in a neat and orderly condition. Garbage and other refuse must be destroyed by burning in an incinerator, be placed in a garbage disposal pit with a suitable cover, or be removed from the lot.

h. The lessee will be required to take all reasonable precautions to prevent fires. Debris and other inflammable materials will be removed or burned in such a manner that adjoining property will not be endangered.

i. The lessee must show his last name and the number of his lot on a sign and post it in a conspicuous place on the lot throughout the lease period.

8. A drawing or drawings will be held at 2:00 p.m. April 7, 1960. To participate in these drawings, applicants must comply with the following instructions. Applications must be made, in duplicate, on Form 4-776, accurately and completely filled out in accordance with the instructions on the form except that Items 4(a) and 4(d) need not be filled out. The application must be accompanied by a bank draft, certified check, or post office money order made payable to the Bureau of Land Management in an amount equal to \$10.00 plus the advance rental for the desired tract as specified in Paragraph 4 above. The application forms and payment must be enclosed in a sealed, self-addressed, stamped (4¢ in stamps), return envelope for return to the applicant in the form received if he is not successful in the drawing. This envelope must be enclosed in another sealed envelope and mailed to the Manager, Land Office, 371 New Custom House, P.O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado. This envelope (used to mail the envelope containing the forms and money) must carry in the lower lefthand corner of its face the following information and nothing else: (a) "Small Tract Application;" (b) "Classification Order No. 30;" (c) A description of the tract applied for, described by lot number in accordance with Paragraph

4 above. Application forms are available upon request from the above named official and from the Bureau of Land Management District Office, Federal Building, Grand Junction, Colorado. Requests for forms should be accompanied by a stamped (4¢ in stamps), self-adressed, return envelope to facilitate mailing of the forms.

Envelopes will be accepted for the drawing if submitted in compliance with the above instructions and filed with the above-named official by 10:00 a.m. on April 5, 1960. Any person who submits more than one set of applications will be declared ineligible to participate in the drawing. All entrants will be notified of the results of this drawing either by receipt of a copy of a lease or the return of their applications and remittance.

Any tracts remaining unleased after the drawing will be open to the filing of applications on a first come, first served basis beginning at 10:00 a.m. on April 21, 1960. All persons are advised that the \$10.00 service fee will be retained by the Government in connection with all applications filed after 10:00 a.m. April 21, 1960.

9. Inquiries concerning these lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, 371 New Custom House Building, P.O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado.

J. ELLIOTT HALL, Lands and Minerals Officer.

March 5, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2308; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

Bureau of Reclamation

[Region 3; No. 77]

YUMA PROJECT, ARIZONA-CALI-FORNIA VALLEY DIVISION

Public Notice Announcing Procedures
For Water-Right Applications and
Certain Other Contracts

FEBRUARY 12, 1960.

1. Processing by Yuma County Water Users' Association. Pursuant to Article 12 of the Contract Regarding Repayment Obligation of Yuma County Water Users' Association, Valley Division, Yuma Project, No. 14-06-300-621, dated April 1, 1957, between the United States of America and said Association, waterright applications hereafter filed covering lands in the Valley Division of the Yuma Project, supplemental water-right applications affecting any lands in said Division, other arrangements for the furnishing of water and water service from the irrigation works of the Division and certain arrangements for the utilization of Projects rights-of-way and lands of the United States within the Division shall hereafter be processed by said Association

Any party desiring to enter into any such arrangement should apply at the office of Yuma County Water Users' Association, North Second Avenue, Yuma, Arizona.

2. Water-right applications. (a) Lands for which water-right applications may

be filed are listed in public notices heretofore issued or will be listed in public
notices hereafter issued by the United
States, specifying irrigable acreages.
Form Yuma B-1 has been approved for
use in connection with all lands except
Indian lands, State lands, and entries
under the Reclamation Law and is,
therefore, applicable for use in connection with Public Notices 66 and 74 heretofore issued. Public notices hereafter
issued will specify the forms of waterright application to be used thereunder.

(b) The completion, execution and acknowledgment of applications and their attachments shall be effectuated under the supervision of the Association. After approval thereof by the Association each application assembly shall be transmitted to the Project Manager, Yuma Projects Office, Bureau of Reclamation, Yuma, Arizona, for approval by said Bureau. Following such approval, the Association will cause the application and attachments to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Yuma County, Arizona. Thereafter the Association will transmit the recorded original and one conformed copy of the application and attachments to the Project Manager and will also furnish the applicant with a conformed copy thereof.

(c) Recommendations by the Association covering changes in irrigable acreages of lands heretofore listed in public notices or depicted on farm unit plats may be submitted to the United States on the basis of annual surveys conducted by the Association. Following increase in irrigable acreage within a land parcel then subject to an outstanding application for permanent water right, supplemental water-right application should be executed covering the increased irrigable acreage. Forms of such application approved by the Bureau of Reclamation will be available at the office of the Association.

3. Arrangements for water service other than water-right applications. Forms will hereafter be approved by the United States from time to time for use in connection with water service arrangements other than those covered by section 2 hereof. Requests for such arrangements will be processed by the Association pursuant to procedures to be specified by the United States when such forms are issued.

4. Utilization of project rights-of-way and lands of the United States. (a) Requests for permission to construct, install, operate and maintain privately owned facilities within Yuma Project rights-of-way on the Valley Division of the Project should be submitted to the Association and will be processed as hereinafter provided. If the Association determines that the applicant's proposed use of such rights-of-way will be compatible with its care, operation and maintenance of the Division, the Association may, subject to the qualifications set out in this section, consent thereto insofar as it and the United States are concerned and shall simultaneously furnish the Project Manager, Yuma Projects Office, with a copy of such consent. Each such consent shall

be revocable by the Association or the United States on thirty (30) days' notice and shall be subject to terms and conditions that are deemed by the Association to be adequate to protect the interests of the United States and the Project.

(b) The Association is not authorized to grant or otherwise dispose of any right, title or interest in any land or interest in land owned by the United States, and no consent or other document utilized by the Association shall be deemed to constitute a grant or other disposition of any land or interest in land owned by the United States. In the event that a request made to the Association involves the granting or other disposition of any right, title or interest in any land or interest in land owned by the United States, and the Association determines that the applicant's proposed use thereof will be compatible with its care, operation and maintenance of the Division, the Association shall submit the same to the Project Manager with its recommendations in the form of a Resolution adopted by its Board of Governors.

(c) No consent which involves a substantial change in any of the works transferred to the Association under the provisions of the Contract for the Care, Operation and Maintenance of Works of the Valley Division, Yuma Project, No. 176r-671, dated June 15, 1951, between the United States of America and the Association shall be issued without the advance written approval of the Project Manager.

A. B. West, Regional Director, Region 3, Bureau of Reclamation.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2289; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

[Region 3; No. 781

YUMA PROJECT, ARIZONA-CALI-FORNIA VALLEY DIVISION

Public Notice Announcing Availability of Water for Indian Lands

FEBRUARY 12, 1960.

1. Lands for which water will be available. In pursuance of the Act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388), and acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto, especially the Act of August 13, 1914 (38 Stat. 686), as amended, and the Act of June 29, 1956 (70 Stat. 409), notice is hereby given that upon proper waterright application being made therefor, water will be furnished under the Valley Division of the Yuma Project, during the remainder of Calendar Year 1960 and thereafter for the following described lands:

GILA AND SALT RIVER BASE AND MERIDIAN, ARIZONA COCOPAH INDIAN RESERVATION LANDS Township 9 South, Range 24 West

Section	Description	Irrigable acreage
30	Lot 2 Lot 4 Lot 5 Lot 10 Lot 13	8. 30 28. 35 37. 98 32. 21 9. 38

INDIAN HOMESTEADS

Township 9 South, Range 24 West

Section	Description	Irrigable acreage
22	E1/8W1/NW1/, NW1/8W1/	30.00
`	NW¼1 E¼NW¼SW¼, SW¼NW¼	30.00
	SW14.1 E1/28W1/28W14, SW1/48W1/4 SW1/4.1	26. 60

1 Other lands in NEUNW14, SW14NW14, SE14NW14, NW14SW14, and SW14SW14, Section 22, are presently covered by approved water-right applications.

- 2. Limit of area for which water right may be secured. The maximum acreage of Indian land for which water-right application may be made shall be one hundred sixty (160) acres of irrigable land for each landowner or patentee.
- 3. Application for water rights. (a) All water-right applications must be made to the Yuma County Water Users' Association, North Second Avenue, Yuma, Arizona, upon forms provided for that purpose as indicated in subsection 3(b) and may be made on or after the date of this notice.
- (b) The following forms of waterright application have been adopted for use in connection with Indian lands on the Valley Division of the Yuma Project: Form Yuma C for Lands in Cocopah Indian Reservation and Form Yuma B-2 for Indian Homesteads.
- (c) Applications for fractions of the parcels described in section 1 or for less than all of the acreage therein specified for any parcel will not be approved.
- 4. Construction and other charges on the Valley Division. (a) The lands in the Valley Division covered by this notice are affected by contracts between the United States of America and Yuma County Water Users' Association, dated May 31, 1906, February 5, 1931, and April 1, 1957, respectively, copies of which are available for inspection at the office of said Association and at the office of the Project Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, Yuma, Arizona. Under said contracts, the Association is entitled to collect and retain payment of the following charges: annual operation and maintenance charges covering the cost of operating and maintaining the irrigation system and other Association expenses, and a construction charge to return the cost of the system. These charges are assessable against each acre of said land now and hereafter found irrigable by or under the authority of the Secretary of the Interior. The construction charge for each such acre is Eighty-five Dollars (\$85.00) payable in not to exceed thirty annual installments which shall be not less than Two and 93/100 Dollars (\$2.93) at the time of filing water-right application, and not less than Two and 83/100 Dollars (\$2.83) on each December 1 thereafter until all of said construction charge has been paid in full. The abovementioned operation and maintenance charges shall be payable to Yuma County Water Users' Association pursuant to public notices to be issued annually by the Association covering the assessments levied by it to provide revenues to meet

GILA AND SALT RIVER BASE AND MERIDIAN, ARIZONA its obligations and expenses. At the time of filing water-right application, the applicant will be required to apply for membership in said Association. Nο such application will be approved until the applicant has become a member of the Association, as evidenced by stock of said Association duly issued to the applicant.

> (b) The charges provided for in subsection 4(a) shall be payable at the office of the Yuma County Water Users' Association, North Second Avenue. Yuma, Arizona.

> 5. Exclusion of lands by action of Colorado River. Every water-right application shall contain the following provisions:

> The Applicant hereby releases the United States and the Association from any and all claims for loss or damages on account of (1) the exclusion of said lands or any part thereof, from the irrigable lands of said project, or (2) the failure to supply water for the irrigation of any part of the lands hereinbefore described when such exclusion or failure is due to (a) the destruction by flood, erosion, encroachment, or other action of the Colorado River, of the levees erected by the Bureau of Reclamation along the banks of said river, or (b) a change in the location of said levees when such change is considered necessary by the United States to prevent the destruction of said levees from the said causes. Land so excluded shall be relieved from payment of all construction and operation and maintenance charges which otherwise would thereafter become due from the lands so excluded, but construction and operation and maintenance charges heretofore paid on lands so excluded shall not be refunded.

> 6. Increased construction charge. In all cases where water-right application for any Indian homestead lands described in section 1 hereof shall not be made within one year from the date of this notice, the construction charge for such land shall be increased five per centum each year until such application is made and an initial installment is

A. B. WEST. Regional Director, Region 3, Bureau of Reclamation.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2290; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary **BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS Delegation of Authority**

The following material is a portion of the Departmental Manual and the numbering system is that of the Manual.

Section 24 of Secretary's Order 2509, as amended (19 F.R. 9428), is revoked.

PART 211-OTHER DEPARTMENTAL OFFICERS

CHAPTER 2-OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

§ 211.2.1 Contract appeals.

The Board of Contract Appeals in the Office of the Solicitor may exercise, pursuant to the provisions of 43 CFR Part 4, all of the authority of the Secretary of the Interior in deciding appeals to the head of the Department from findings of fact and decisions by contracting officers of any bureau or office of the Depart-

ment, wherever situated, or any field installation thereof. Decisions of the Board on such contract appeals shall be final for the Department. The Board may, in its discretion, decide questions which are deemed necessary for the complete decision on the issue or issues involved in the appeal, including questions of law. No member of the Board shall consider an appeal if he shall have taken part, or have any interest, directly or indirectly, in the letting or administration of the contract in dispute.

> ELMER F. BENNETT. Acting Secretary of the Interior.

March 7, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2292; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.1

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 13090 etc.; FCC 60M-445]

FREDERICKSBURG BROADCASTING CORP. (WFVA) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Fredericksburg Broadcasting Corporation (WFVA), Fredericksburg, Virginia, Docket No. 13090, File No. BP-11550, et al., Docket Virginia, Docket No. Nos. 13091, 13092, 13093, 13094, 13095, 13096, 13097, 13098, 13099, 13100, 13101, 13102, 13103, 13104, 13105, 13106, 13107, 13108, 13109, 13110, 13111, 13112, 13113, 13114, 13115, 13116, 13118, 13120, 13121, 13122, 13123, 13125, 13126, 13127, 13129, 13130, 13131, 13132, 13133, 13134, 13135, 13136, 13137, 13138, 13139, 13140, 13141, 13142, 13143, 13144, 13145, 13146, 13147, 13327; for construction permits.

Pursuant to agreements reached at a prehearing conference held this day in Group 7 of the above-entitled matter: It is ordered, This 7th day of March 1960, that:

(1) The final exchange of exhibits of any nature whatsoever shall take place on or before April 16, 1960.

(2) Notification of witnesses desired shall be made by April 25, 1960.

(3) The hearing in Group 7 of this matter shall commence at 10:00 a.m., May 2, 1960, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 8, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

MARY JANE MORRIS, [SEAL]

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2311; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12566, 12774; FCC 60M-449]

SANFORD L. HIRSCHBERG ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Sanford L. Hirschberg and Gerald R. McGuire, Cohoes-Watervliet, New York, Docket No. 12566, File No. BP-11261; W. Frank Short and H. Clay Esbenshade, d/b as Fairview Broadcasters, Rensselaer, New 2116 NOTICES

York, Docket No. 12774, File No. BP-12209; for construction permits for new standard broadcast stations.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a "Motion for Continuance" of the hearing in the above-entitled matter, said motion having been filed March 4, 1960, by Sanford L. Hirschberg and Gerald R. McGuire requesting that the presently scheduled hearing of March 3, 1960, and

It appearing, that all other parties agreed to the continuance and that good cause therefor has been shown,

It is ordered, This 8th day of March 1960, that the aforesaid motion is granted and the hearing on March 3, 1960, be and it hereby is postponed until 10:00 a.m., March 31, 1960, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 9, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2312; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12878; FCC 60M-443]

PINE TREE TELECASTING CORP. (WPTT)

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of Pine Tree Telecasting Corporation (WPTT), Augusta, Maine, Docket No. 12878, File No. BMPCT-4662; for modification of construction permit.

The Hearing Examiner has under consideration a motion filed February 29, 1960, by the above-entitled applicant requesting that the date for the further prehearing conference scheduled for March 7, 1960, be continued pending further order. Objections to the requested continuance were made at the hearing conference on March 7, 1960, by counsel for the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force and counsel for the Chief, Broadcast Bureau

Oral argument on the motion for continuance was held at the prehearing conference held on March 7, 1960, at which time the reasons for and against the granting of the motion were advanced. At the conclusion of the argument, the Hearing Examiner ruled that good cause for the requested continuance had not been shown and sustained the objections of other counsel to the requested continuance.

It is ordered, This the 7th day of March 1960, pursuant to the ruling of the Hearing Examiner at the prehearing conference on this date, that the motion for continuance be and the same is hereby denied;

It is further ordered, That the evidentiary hearing in this proceeding be held beginning Monday, March 28, 1960.

Released: March 8, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
MARY JANE MORBIS.

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2313; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13363-13366; FCC 60M-451]

CECIL W. ROBERTS ET AL.

Statement and Order Following First Pre-Hearing Conference

In re applications of Cecil W. Roberts and Jane A. Roberts, his wife, Poplar Bluff, Missouri, Docket No. 13363, File No. BP-11881; Don M. Lidenton, Poplar Bluff, Missouri, Docket No. 13364, File No. BP-11958; Phoenix Company, Inc. (KAAB), Hot Springs, Arkansas, Docket No. 13365, File No. BP-12710; White River Valley Broadcasters, Incorporated (KBTA), Batesville, Arkansas, Docket No. 13366, File No. BP-13037; for construction permits.

1. The first pre-hearing conference was held herein on February 26, 1960. Each of the applicants was represented by counsel except Cecil W. Roberts and Jane A. Roberts, his wife, who did not appear or send a representative.1 The licensees of Stations KSMO and KICK, two of the respondents, were not represented and did not file written appearances. The remaining parties were represented by Agreements were reached counsel. among the parties and were stated on the record, as reflected in the transcript which is incorporated herein by reference. Such agreements are found to be acceptable and are approved by the Hearing Examiner. They will be considered binding on all parties to the proceeding, including those not attending the prehearing conference, unless objection thereto is filed within ten (10) days from the release date of this order by a party not attending the pre-hearing conference.

- 2. The agreements included the following:
- (1) With respect to Issue 9, all evidence to be offered by the applicants as part of their direct cases shall be in written exhibits; and the exhibits shall be considered "frozen" (i.e., not subject to change) when exchanged (tr. 14).
- (2) With respect to other issues, all evidence to be offered by the applicants, as part of their direct cases, shall be in written exhibits: *Provided*, *however*, Any necessary correction or amplification of such exhibits made, be made after they are exchanged in draft form (tr. 15-16).
- (3) The direct cases, in written form, shall be prepared as follows:
- (a) The testimony of each witness shall be prepared in narrative form and shall be submitted under the affidavit of the particular witness (tr. 19).

- (b) All narrative statements (except engineering exhibits) shall be prepared in double-spaced form; each page and each line shall be numbered, and carbon copies shall not be acceptable (tr. 19-20).
- (c) Parties shall be designated by abbreviated names, as follows: Roberts, Lidenton, KAAB, and KBTA (tr. 20).
- (d) Each party shall mark each of its exhibits with its name and a number (tr. 20).
- (4) Where the direct case in written form contains testinony of witnesses whose direct testimony appropriately could have been taken by deposition, parties will not object to taking cross-examination of such witnesses through deposition procedures rather than requiring those witnesses to be brought to Washington (tr. 20).
- (5) Engineering exhibits shall be prepared in accordance with the statement entitled, "Desired engineering data includes the following among other things," as copied into the record at pages 20–23 of the transcript.
- (6) The following dates are scheduled for various procedural steps:

Exchange of preliminary draft of each applicant's engineering exhibits (copy to be furnished to each party who has filed an appearance and to the Commission's Broadcast Bureau): April 4, 1960 (tr. 16). Exchange of engineering exhibits in final form and exchange of all other exhibits in final form to be offered as part of each applicant's direct case (copy to be furnished to each party who has filed an appearance, to the Commission's Broadcast Bureau, and to the Hearing Examiner): April 25, 1960 (tr. 19).

Notification to respective parties of any witnesses desired for cross-examination: May 5, 1960 (tr.19).

Hearing: May 11, 1960 (tr. 19).

It is ordered, This 8th day of March 1960, that the foregoing agreements and requirements shall govern the course of the proceeding to the extent indicated, unless modified by the Hearing Examiner for cause or by the Commission upon review of the Hearing Examiner's ruling.

It is further ordered, That the hearing herein, previously scheduled for April 11, 1960, is continued until May 11, 1960, at 10:00 a.m.

Released: March 9, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2314; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12782; FCC 60M-446]

STUDY OF RADIO AND TELEVISION NETWORK BROADCASTING

Order

It is ordered, This 8th day of March 1960, that a session will be convened in the above-entitled proceeding at 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, March 16, 1960, in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C., for the limited purpose of receiving and incorporating into the record a se-

¹The Hearing Examiner was telephoned by movant on March 2, 1960, and apprised of movant's situation. The Hearing Examiner informally gave permission for a postponement but advised the movant to file a written motion.

¹This applicant has filed a written appearance, however.

ries of written statements which are pertinent to the inquiry.

Released: March 8, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2315; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 6517; FCC 60M-439]

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO. AND POSTAL TELEGRAPH, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In the matter of the application for merger of The Western Union Telegraph Company and Postal Telegraph, Inc., Docket No. 6517.

It is ordered, This 7th day of March 1960, by the Examiner on his own motion that the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter presently scheduled to commence on March 15, 1960, is hereby rescheduled to commence at 10:00 a.m., March 16, 1960, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 8, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,

Secretary.

(F.R. Doc. 60-2316; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 6517; FCC 60M-416]

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO. AND POSTAL TELEGRAPH, INC.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of the application for merger of The Western Union Telegraph Company and Postal Telegraph, Inc., Docket No. 6517.

It is ordered, This 3d day of March 1960, that Charles J. Frederick will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on March 22, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 3, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2317; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-20031]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

March 8, 1960.

Take notice that on October 30, 1959, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Applicant) filed an application, as supplemented on November 10, 1959, and December 17, 1959, in Docket No. G-20031, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity seeking authorization to increase its direct firm sales of natural gas from the presently authorized daily maximum of 7,000 Mcf to 9,500 Mcf to Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation (Owens-Corning) for use in the latter's Anderson plant in South Carolina, all as more fully set forth in the application and supplements on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application shows that of the daily firm volume of 7,000 Mcf of natural gas delivered to Owens-Corning, 2,000 Mcf is delivered under temporary authorization granted on October 16, 1959, in Docket No. G-18777.1

Owens-Corning proposes to install an additional glass marble making furnace of 37 ton daily capacity at its Anderson plant which will require the additional daily firm volume increase of 2,500 Mcf of natural gas applied for herein.

Applicant states that it does not require additional facilities in order to provide this increased service over and above those facilities previously authorized by the Commission and the "1959 construction" facilities authorized in Docket Nos. G-16603, et al.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on April 7, 1960 at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and pro-Under the procedure herein cedure. provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 28, 1960. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

Joseph H. Gutride, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2307; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. G-19086 etc.]

PEOPLES GULF COAST NATURAL GAS PIPELINE CO. ET AL.

Notice of Applications, Consolidation and Date of Hearing

MARCH 9, 1960.

Peoples Gulf Coast Natural Gas Pipeline Company and Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Docket No. G-19086; Hassie Hunt Trust, Operator, et al., Docket No. G-19115; H. L. Hunt, Operator, et al., Docket No. G-19116; Hunt Oil Company, Docket No. G-19117; Wil-liam Herbert Hunt Trust Estate, Operator, Docket No. G-19118; Lamar Hunt Estate, Docket-No. G-19119; George W. Graham, Inc., Operator, et al., Docket No. G-19123; Placid Oil Company, Operator, et al., Docket No. G-19124, G-19125; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Docket No. G-20202; Iowa Southern Utilities Company, Docket No. G-20313; Missouri Utilities Company, Docket No. G-20335: City of Corning, Iowa, Docket No. G-20591; Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company, Docket No. G-20593; Lateral Gas Pipeline Company, Docket No. CP60-42; Iowa Electric Light and Power Company, Docket No. CP60-43.

Take notice that on February 23, 1960, Lateral Gas Pipeline Company (Lateral) an Iowa corporation with its principal place of business in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, and Iowa Electric Light and Power Company (Iowa Electric), an Iowa corporation with its principal place of business in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, filed applications pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of transmission line and other facilities to transport natural gas from the mainline transmission system of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) to the City of Chariton, Iowa, and an order directing Natural to sell such natural gas to Iowa Electric. all as more fully set forth in their applications which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In Docket No. CP60-42, Lateral seeks authority pursuant to section 7(c) of the Act to construct and operate 17 miles of 6-inch transmission pipeline extending from a point on the main pipeline of Natural to the town border of the City of Chariton, Iowa. The facilities would be used to transport natural gas for Iowa Electric's account.

The estimated cost of construction of these facilities is \$298,471, which would be financed from funds currently on hand, advanced by Iowa Electric or through the purchase by Iowa Electric of Lateral's common stock.

In Docket No. CP60-43, Iowa Electric seeks, pursuant to section 7(a) of the Act, an order of the Commission directing Natural to sell to Iowa Electric 2,627 Mcf per day of natural gas for distribution in the City of Chariton, Iowa. The cost to Iowa Electric of the new distribution facilities to be constructed is estimated at \$205,960, all of which would be financed from funds on hand. Iowa Electric estimates its gas requirements in the City of Chariton as follows:

¹Applicant is presently authorized to deliver to Owens-Corning's Anderson plant a total volume of 8,750 Mcf per day of natural gas of which 7,000 Mcf per day is firm and the remaining volume is interruptible.

	annual P	еак аау
	Mcf	Mcf
First year	173, 765	1048
Second year		1824
Third year		2627

The gas would be used for residential and commercial purposes.

Peoples Gulf Coast Natural Gas Pipeline and Natural in their application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity in Docket No. G-19086, propose that a portion of the 85,000 Mcf per day of increased capacity there sought to be certificated would be reserved for service to new communities, of which Iowa Electric's proposal to service the City of Chariton is one; 2,627 Mcf per day of the 85,000 Mcf per day would be reserved for this service.

Notice of the applications, other than those of Lateral and Iowa Electric, has heretofore been given by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 9, 1960 (25 F.R. 1143) and March 4, 1960 (25 F.R. 1918).

These related matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 28, 1960, at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 17, 1960.

Joseph H. Gutride, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2340; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[No. 33353]

PETITION OF UNION PACIFIC RAIL-ROAD COMPANY FOR DECLARA-TORY ORDER

Directing Modified Procedure

By petition filed February 16, 1960, the Union Pacific Railroad Company requests the issuance of a declaratory order pursuant to section 5(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act, for the purpose of construing the notice provision of the applicable tariff governing periods of time, in excess of free time, allowed for unloading shipments of grain at Portland, Oregon; and that suit has been filed in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, County of Multnomah, which suit has been stayed pending ad-

ministrative determination by the Commission of the issues presented by said petition:

It is ordered, That the said petition be, and it is hereby, docketed with the number and title set forth above:

It is further ordered, That this proceeding be handled under modified procedure; that petitioner and any interested person subsequently permitted to intervene herein comply with rules 1.45 to 1.54, inclusive, of the Commission's general rules of practice, the filing and service of pleadings to be as follows: (a) not later than April 11, 1960; opening statement of facts and argument by any party supporting an affirmative answer to the legal question above stated; (b) 30 days thereafter statement of facts and argument by any party supporting a negative answer to the said legal question, or taking a neutral position with respect thereto; and (c) 10 days thereafter reply by party described in (a).

It is further ordered, That any pleadings filed responsive to this order shall be served upon all parties subsequently permitted to intervene herein, and also upon—

Randall B. Kester, Howard E. Roos, Union Pacific Railroad Co., 727 Pittock Block, Portland 5, Oreg.

from whom a copy of the said petition may be obtained.

It is further ordered, That the aboveentitled proceeding be, and it is hereby, referred to Examiner T. R. Roper for appropriate proceedings, and for the recommendation of an appropriate order thereon accompanied by the reasons therefor.

And it is further ordered, That a copy of this order be filed with the Director, Division of the Federal Register.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of February A.D. 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D.

HAROLD D. McCoy, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2297; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Federal Maritime Board

[Docket No. 892]

STATES MARINE LINES, HOHENBERG BROTHERS

Violation of Shipping Act; Investigation, of Hearing, and of Prehearing Conference

On February 26, 1960, the Federal Maritime Board entered the following order amending its previous order dated January 28, 1960, in this proceeding:

It appearing, that there is information before the Board that Hohenberg Brothers, Memphis, Tenn., as shipper, in connection with the shipment of certain cotton on the vessel S.S. "Alca" on or about January 6, 1958, from the Port of San Francisco. California, knowingly

and willfully, directly or indirectly, by means of false billing, false classification, false weighing, false report of weight, or by any other unjust or unfair device or means obtained or attempted to obtain transportation by water for such property at less than the rates which would otherwise be applicable, and that Global Bulk Transport Corp. (formerly States Marine Corp.) and States Marine Lines Inc. (formerly States Marine Corp. of Del.) common carriers by water in foreign commerce, directly or indirectly, allowed Hohenberg Brothers to so obtain said transportation at less than the regular rates or charges then established and enforced:

It is ordered, That an investigation is hereby instituted to determine whether any or all of the parties named above have acted in violation of section 16 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 814); and

It is further ordered, That all persons named above are made respondents in this proceeding which is to be set for hearing before an examiner from the Hearing Examiners' Office at a time and place to be announced, and

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be served on each of the respondents and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with Rule 6(d) of the Board's rules of practice and procedure, 46 CFR 201.94, a pre-hearing conference in this proceeding will be held before Examiner Edward C. Johnson on April 5, 1960, at 10 a.m., in Room 4519, New General Accounting Office Building, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. Thereafter a public hearing will be scheduled at a date and place to be announced. Said hearing will be conducted in accordance with the above rules, and a recommended decision will be issued by the examiner.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies), having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene therein, should notify the Secretary, Federal Maritime Board, promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(n), 46 CFR 201.74, of the above rules.

Dated: March 9, 1960.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

James L. Pimper, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2303; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

Maritime Administration TRADE ROUTE NO 10

Adoption of Conclusions and Determinations Regarding Essentiality and United States Flag Passenger Service Requirements

Notice is hereby given that the Acting Maritime Administrator has adopted as final the tentative conclusions and determinations regarding the essentiality and United States flag passenger service requirements of Trade Route No. 10 as published in the Federal Register issue of February 12, 1960, (25 F.R. 1295).

By order of the Acting Maritime Administrator.

Dated: March 9, 1960.

JAMES L. PIMPER, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2304; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary RICHMOND LEWIS

State of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the Federal Register during the last six months.

A. Deletions: No change. B. Additions: No change.

This statement is made as of February 27, 1960.

RICHMOND LEWIS.

MARCH 1, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2299; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division
LEARNER EMPLOYMENT
CERTIFICATES

Issuance to Various Industries

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), the regulations on employment of learners (29 CFR Part 522), and Administrative Order No. 524 (24 F.R. 9274), the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the Act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, learning periods, and the principal product manufactured by the employer for certificates issued under general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.11) are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.24, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Big Ace Corp., 355 Oneta Street, Athens, Ga.; effective 2-17-60 to 2-18-61 (overalls, dungarees, hobby jeans and work pants).

Blue Bell, Inc., Tippah County, Ripley, Miss.; effective 2-22-60 to 2-21-61 (ladies' and girls' blouses).

Elizabethtown Manufacturing Co., Elizabethtown, N.C.; effective 2-19-60 to 2-18-61 (women's cotton dresses).

Fairfield Manufacturing Co., Inc., Winnsboro, S.C.; effective 2-20-60 to 2-19-61 (ladies' cotton wash dresses).

Fawn Grove Manufacturing Co., Inc., Fawn Grove, Pa.; effective 2-23-60 to 2-22-61 (cotton work clothing, men's and boys' work and semi-dress trousers).

Greer Shirt Corp., Greer, S.C.; effective 2-20-60 to 2-19-61 (men's and boys' sport shirts).

New Hebron Manufacturing Co., New Hebron, Miss.; effective 2-18-60 to 2-17-61 (boys' shirts, pants and bath robes).

Puritan Foundations Inc., Farren Street, Portage, Pa.; effective 2-16-60 to 2-15-61 (brassieres).

Shea Manufacturing Co., Joan Miller Division, Bainbridge, Ga.; effective 2-19-60 to 2-18-61 (women's dresses).

The Solomon Co., Leeds, Ala.; effective 2-20-60 to 2-19-61 (men's and boys' dress trousers, walking shorts).

Tennessee Textile Corp., McArthur Road, Alcoa, Tenn.; effective 2-26-60 to 2-25-62 (men's and boys' cotton work trousers and shirts, sport trousers, cotton dungarees).

Warsaw Manufacturing Co., Warsaw, N.C.; effective 2-27-60 to 2-26-61 (women's cotton dresses).

Jack Winter Manufacturing Corp., Marianna, Ark.; effective 2-23-60 to 2-22-61 (ladies' and men's slacks).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Belmill Manufacturing Co., Route 1, Commercial Street, Marseilles, Ill.; effective 2-19-60 to 2-18-61; 10 learners (outerwear—jackets).

Berlin Manufacturing Co., Inc., Berlin, Md.; effective 2-23-60 to 2-22-61; 10 learners (cotton work clothing—work pants).

Fawn Grove Manufacturing Co., Inc., Rising Sun, Md.; effective 2-23-60 to 2-22-61; 10 learners (cotton work clothing—dungarees, overalls, shirts).

Linda Lane Garment Co., Inc., 106 West Bluff and 204 North Main, Excelsior Springs, Mo.: effective 2-16-60 to 2-15-61; 10 learners (ladies', nurses' and maids' uniforms).

(ladies', nurses' and maids' uniforms).

Samuel Meltzer, d/b/a The Liberty Co.,
Alexander Avenue, Bradford, Tenn.; effective 2-23-60 to 2-22-61; 10 learners (men's and boys' pajamas and robes).

Pella Manufacturing Corp., 707 North Third Street, Pella, Iowa; effective 3-2-60 to 3-1-61; 10 learners (overalls, coveralls and work shirts).

Shroyer Dress Co., Milton Branch, Rear 28 Prospect Avenue, Milton, Pa.; effective 2-16-60 to 2-15-61; 10 learners (women's and misses' dresses).

Warsaw Manufacturing Co., Warsaw Road, Kingstree, S. C.; effective 2-20-60 to 2-19-61; 10 learners (ladies' and children's shorts, pedal-pushers and slacks).

The following learner certificate was issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Mammoth Cave Garment Co., Cave City, Ky.; effective 2-16-60 to 8-15-60; 40 learners (men's and boys' dungarees).

Glove Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.60 to 522.66, as amended).

The Boss Manufacturing Co., 107 North Boss Street, Kewanee, Ill.; effective 3-1-60 to 2-28-61; 10 percent of the total number of machine stitchers for normal labor turnover purposes (work gloves).

The Boss Manufacturing Co., 109 North Baylor Street, Breckenridge, Tex.; effective 2-27-60 to 2-26-61; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (work gloves).

labor turnover purposes (work gloves).
The Boss Manufacturing Co., Gregory and Harrington Streets, Cisco, Tex.; effective 3-1-60 to 2-28-61; 10 percent of the total number of machine stitchers for normal labor turnover purposes (work gloves).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.44, as amended).

Cole-Gunn Hosiery Mills, Inc., Yanceyville, N.C.; effective 2-22-60 to 2-21-61; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes (full-fashioned).

Cole-Gunn Hosiery Mills, Inc., Yanceyville, N.C.; effective 2-22-60 to 8-21-60; 25 learners for plant expansion purposes (full-fashioned).

Yanceyville Knitting Mills, Inc., Yanceyville, N.C.; effective 3-2-60 to 3-1-61; three learners for normal labor turnover purposes (seamless children's anklets).

Shoe Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.50 to 522.55, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Johnson-Baillie Shoe Co., Millersburg, Pa.; effective 2-21-60 to 2-20-61 (men's, boys', youths', misses' and girls' leather shoes).

youths', misses' and girls' leather shoes).
Martinsburg Shoe Co., Inc., 107 Highland
Street, Martinsburg, Pa.; effective 2-24-60
to 2-23-61 (ladles' play and high heel shoes).

Sham-O-Kin Shoe Corp., Franklin Street, Corner Shakespeare, Shamokin, Pa.; effective 2-15-60 to 2-14-61 (women's California leather play shoes).

Wilson Shoe Corp., Franklin Street., Corner Independence, Shamokin, Pa.; effective 3–1–60 to 2–28–61 (women's Amalfi and logroller leather shoes).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Sham-O-Kin Shoe Corp., Franklin Street, Corner Shakespeare, Shamokin, Pa.; effective 3-1-60 to 8-31-60; 50 learners (women's California leather play shoes).

Wilson Shoe Corp., Franklin Street, Corner Independence, Shamokin, Pa.; effective 3-1-60 to 8-31-60; 75 learners (women's Amalfi and logrolled leather shoes).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.11, as amended).

Hardwick Clothes, Cleveland, Tenn.; effective 3-1-60 to 8-31-60; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of at least 90 cents an hour for the first 280 hours and not less than 95 cents an hour for the remaining 200 hours (men's and boys' tailored garments).

Kewanee Headwear Co., 410 West Second Street, Kewanee, Ill.; effective 2-29-60 to 8-28-60; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sewing machine operator for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 90 cents an hour

Mohawk Lining Co., Inc., 804 Broadway, Schenectady, N.Y.; effective 2-18-60 to 8-17-60; three learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of glove lining sewer for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of at least 85 cents an hour for the first 320 hours and not less than 95 cents an hour for the remaining 160 hours (rabbit and synthetic glove linings).

The following learner certificate was issued in the Virgin Islands to the company hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods, and the number or proportion of learners authorized to be employed are indicated.

Vimar Corporation, 69 Krondprindsens Gade, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, V.I.; effective 1-11-60 to 12-3-60; five learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of shoe lace pairers for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 55 cents an hour (replacement certificate) (shoe laces).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in Part 528 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of February 1960.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD, Authorized Representative of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2248; Filed, Mar. 10, 1960; 8:47 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-133]

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), and the regulations in Part 2, 10 CFR, "Rules of Practice", notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held to consider the issuance to Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 245 Market Street, San Francisco, California (herein referred to as "the applicant"), under sections 104b and 185 of the Act, of a construction permit for a 50 megawatt (electrical) single-cycle, natural internal circulation boiling water nuclear reactor to be located at the applicant's Humboldt Bay Power Plant located near Eureka, California. For further information all interested persons are referred to the ap-

plication which is available for public inspection at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. The hearing will commence at 10:30 a.m. on April 14, 1960, or on such later date as may be designated by the Presiding Officer, in the Auditorium of the Atomic Energy Commission Headquarters, Germantown, Maryland.

The Commission has designated Samuel W. Jensch, Esq., as the Presiding Officer to conduct the hearing and to render a decision pursuant to § 2.751(a) of the Commission's rules of practice.

The issues to be considered at the hearing will be the following:

- 1. Whether the applicant has submitted sufficient information to provide reasonable assurance that a utilization facility of the general type proposed in the application can be constructed and operated at the proposed location without undue risk to the health and safety of the public;
- 2. Whether there is reasonable assurance that the technical information omitted from and required to complete the application will be supplied;

3. Whether the applicant is technically qualified to design and construct the proposed facility;

4. Whether pursuant to § 50.40(b) of the AEC's regulations the applicant is financially qualified to engage in the proposed activities; and

5. Whether construction of the reactor will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Petitions for leave to intervene must be received in the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or in the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., not later than April 13, 1960, or in the event of a postponement of the hearing date specified above, at such time as the Presiding Officer may direct.

Answers to this notice shall be filed by the applicant in the manner prescribed in § 2.736 of the Commission's rules of practice on or before March 28, 1960.

Papers required to be filed with the Atomic Energy Commission in this proceeding shall be filed by malling to the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or may be filed in person at the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Presiding Officer, parties shall file twenty copies of each such paper with the Atomic Energy Commission and where service of papers is required on other parties shall serve five copies of each.

The report of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in this matter will be available for public inspection in the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room prior to the hearing herein scheduled. Copies of such report may be obtained by request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation. If

the report is not published and made available prior to the scheduled date of hearing, the hearing will be rescheduled.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 10th day of March 1960.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

H. L. PRICE,
Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2349; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:53 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRA-TION

[Delegation of Authority No. 30-VIII-11 (Rev. 1)]

CHIEF, INVESTMENT DIVISION

Delegation Relating to the Investment Division Functions

- I. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Regional Director by Delegation of Authority No. 30 (Revision 5) (24 F.R. 7713) there is hereby redelegated to the Chief, Investment Division, the authority:
- A. Investment Program. 1. To take the following actions relating to section 502 of the Small Business Act of 1958:
- (a) To disburse section 502 loans.
 (b) To extend the disbursement period on section 502 loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of section 502 loans.
- (c) To cancel wholly or in part undisbursed balances of partially disbursed section 502 loans.
- (d) To do and to perform all and every act and thing requisite, necessary and proper to be done for the purpose of effecting the servicing and administration of section 502 loans.
 - 2. To approve annual and sick leave.
- B. Correspondence. To sign all nonpolicy correspondence, except Congressional correspondence, relating to the investment program.

II. The authority delegated herein may be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as Acting Chief, Investment Division.

IV. All previous authority delegated by the regional director to the Chief, Investment Division, is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to the date hereof.

Effective date: February 1, 1960.

ROBERT C. ALM, Regional Director.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2294; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 258]

OREGON

Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of February 1960, be-

cause of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of Oregon;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that: 1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in the following counties (including any areas adjacent to said counties) suffered damage or destruction as a result of the catastrophe hereinafter referred to:

Counties: Lincoln and Tillamook (storm and high waves occurring on or about February 10, 1960).

Offices: Small Business Administration Regional Office, Smith Tower, Room 1220, 506 Second Avenue, Seattle 4, Wash. Small

Business Administration Branch Office, 811 Southwest Washington Street, Portland 5, Oreg.

- 2. No special field offices will be established at this time.
- 3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to August 31, 1960.

Dated: February 29, 1960.

PHILIP McCallum, Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2295; Filed, Mar. 11, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—MARCH

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during March.

3 CFR	Page
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	1
Feb. 18, 1870	1949
Mar. 26, 1881	1949
June 8, 1901	1867
982	2073
1624	2073
1776	2073
1778	2073
2119	2073
4124	2073
4208	1949
9769	2073
10017	2073
10337	2073
10501	2073
10577	2073
10868	1927
10869	2073
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER	
THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND Ex-	j
ECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Memorandum, November 5.	- 1
1059	2073
1953 Memorandum, May 7, 1959	2073
Memorandum, March 9, 1960	2073
•	4013
5 CFR	
2	2074
61928, 2005	2074
24	1743
325	1928
6 CFR	
331 1905	2047
421 1815, 2047, 2049, 2051	
443	1816
477	2005
485 1867	, 1868
7 CFR	1
4	1985
52	2005
352	1929
362	1934
718	1743
719	1816
728	2074
815	2006
850 1905	
914 1935	
922	1908
933	1936
946	1747
953 1817, 1937	
954	1756
VV 1	1100

y and the political of	
7 CFR—Continued	Page
	0000
955	2098 1763
965	
987	1817
PROPOSED RULES:	1012
	1913
723 725	2059
	2059
902	1838
9041879,	2057
912 928	2057
944	2057
972	1791
975	2106
990	1879
995	2106
996	1879
999	1879
1019	1879
1021	1954
1028	2106
1065	1955
•	1000
9 CFR	
731311937,	1873
131 1937.	1938
155	1763
12 CFR	
204	1818
221	2098
14 CED	
14 CFR	
40	1987
41	1987
42	1987
60 1764,	
302	1868
375	1767
501 507 1818, 1908, 1987, 2041, 2077,	1938
507 1818, 1908, 1987, 2041, 2077,	2078
6001819, 1938-1940,	
1991, 2009-2011, 2041, 2078, 601 1819, 1938-1940, 1988-	2079
2009–2011, 2041, 2078, 2079,	1992,
2009-2011, 2041, 2078, 2079,	2098
602	1992
6081868,	
609 1868, 2042,	
1209	2100
PROPOSED RULES:	
507 1839, 1883,	2060
514	1884
600 1801-1804, 1839, 1956-	1965.
2017, 2018, 2084, 2085, 2107-	-2109
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

14 CFR—Continued	Page
PROPOSED RULES—Continued	
	802-
6011804, 1839, 1840, 1960–1965,	2017.
2018, 2085, 2086, 2108–2110.	,
602 1804, 1805, 1966, 1993,	2086
6082086,	
15 CFR	
230	2083
	4063
16 CFR	
13 1820, 1873, 1875, 2075, 2106,	1819,
1820, 1873, 1875, 2075, 2106,	2101
17 CFR	
211	1940
250	1942
270	1865
PROPOSED RULES:	
250	2060
18 CFR	
10 CFK	
154	1943
19 CFR	
10	1820
23	2103
20 CFR	
	0050
238	2053
262	2053 2053
266 401	2011
ì	2011
21 CFR .	
27	1770
120 1943	
121 1772, 1944,	
146a	1909
281	1820
PROPOSED RULES: 120 1956, 2017,	2004
121 1800, 1801, 1884	1913
22 CFR	
121	1821
122	1823
123	1824
124	1827
125	1827 1828
126	1829
128	1829
l .	1020
24 CFR	
221	2102
222	2102
1	

24 CFR—Continued	Page	32 CFR—Continued	Page	43 CFR—Continued	Page
226	2102			Public Land Orders—Continued	
232	2102	65a		2022	2105
235		888	1944	2032	2105
241	2103	32A CFR		2058	1867
25 CFR		NSA (CH. XVIII):		2059	
48	1829	OPR-7	2104	2060	
124				2061	
26 (1954) CFR		33 CFR	4040	2062	
	1000	202	1910 1910	2064	
1 48		205	1910	2065	
PROPOSED RULES:	1113	36 CFR		2066	
1	1954	7	1947	2067	2105
30 CFR	1001	39 CFR		46 CFR	
Proposed Rules:		1—203	2077	172	1912
25	1877	43		PROPOSED RULES:	
33		45		201-380	1955
	2017	94			
31 CFR		96	1947	47 CFR	
500	1910	168 1948, 2055	, 2104	1950	
32 CFR		42 CFR		3	
1	1778		1011	13	
2	1783	75	1911	17	
3	1784	43 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	1002
5	1784	PROPOSED RULES:		3 1967, 1993	2019
6	1784	4		17	
7	1784	192	1877		
8		Public Land Orders:		49 CFR	
9	1786	808 1885		139	
10	1787	1312	1867	145	
16	1788	1826	2104	186	1837



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register, or the Code of Federal Regulations.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register, or the Code of Federal Regulations.